

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 OVERVIEW OF EVALUATION DESIGN AND RECENT ACTIVITIES	2
1.1.1 Process Implementation Study.....	2
1.1.2 Community Impact Study.....	2
1.1.3 Fiscal Outcomes Study	3
1.1.4 Participant Outcomes Study.....	3
1.1.5 Other Evaluation Efforts.....	3
1.2 CURRENT OHIO CONTEXT.....	3
1.3 DEMONSTRATION COUNTY PRIORITIES.....	5
1.4 ORGANIZATION OF THIS REPORT.....	9
 CHAPTER 2: FINDINGS FROM THE PROCESS/ IMPLEMENTATION STUDY	 10
2.1 INTRODUCTION	10
2.2 METHODOLOGY	10
2.3 USE OF MANAGED CARE STRATEGIES.....	15
2.3.1 Overall Use of Managed Care: the Managed Care Index	17
2.4 FINANCING METHODS.....	24
2.4.1 Managed Care Contracting	25
2.4.2 Use of IV-E Flexible Funds	28
2.5 INTERNAL ORGANIZATION AND CASE MANAGEMENT.....	30
2.5.1 Team Conferencing and Family Involvement	31
2.5.2 Changes in Screening Processes	33

2.6	PROVIDER COMPETITION.....	34
2.6.1	Efforts to Increase the Number of Foster or Adoptive Homes	35
2.7	UTILIZATION REVIEW, QUALITY ASSURANCE AND DATA MANAGEMENT	38
2.7.1	Increased Oversight of Placement Utilization	38
2.7.2	Increased Attention to Outcomes.....	39
2.7.3	Improvement in County-specific Data Management Systems	40
2.7.4	Implementation of Caseload Analysis	41
CHAPTER 3: SPECIAL TOPICS FROM THE PROCESS STUDY		44
3.1	INTRODUCTION.....	44
3.2	SERVICE ARRAY.....	45
3.2.1	Summary of Evaluation Findings from Years 1-4.....	45
3.2.2	Year Five Explorations and Findings	51
3.3	COURT REFERRALS.....	67
3.3.1	Summary of Evaluation Findings from Years 1-4.....	67
3.3.2	Year Five Exploration and Findings.....	72
3.4	INTERAGENCY COLLABORATION.....	79
3.4.1	Summary of Evaluation Findings from Year 1-4	78
3.4.2	Year Five Exploration and Findings.....	80
CHAPTER 4: FINDINGS FROM THE FISCAL OUTCOMES STUDY.....		89
4.1	INTRODUCTION	89
4.2	METHODOLOGY	90
4.2.1	Data Collected.....	90
4.2.2	Interpreting Expenditure Data.....	92

4.3	IMPACT OF THE WAIVER ON FOSTER CARE EXPENDITURES.....	94
4.3.1	Differences in Foster Care Expenditures for Demonstration and Comparison Counties.....	95
4.3.2	Changes in Paid Placement Day Usage and Average Daily Cost of Foster Care for Demonstration and Comparison Counties.....	104
4.3.2.1	Paid Placement Days.....	104
4.3.2.2	Average Daily Cost of Foster Care.....	107
4.4	EFFECT OF THE WAIVER ON ALL OTHER CHILD WELFARE EXPENDITURES	112
4.4.1	Revenue for Flexible Spending from ProtectOHIO Waiver.....	113
4.4.2	Spending of Flexible Waiver Revenue	115
4.4.3	Comparison of Growth in All Other Child Welfare Expenditures Between Demonstration and Comparison County Groups.....	118
4.5	CONCLUSIONS	121
CHAPTER 5: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FROM THE PARTICIPANT OUTCOMES ANALYSIS.....		
5.1	INTRODUCTION	124
5.2	POA DATA SOURCE.....	127
5.3	POA METHODOLOGY.....	130
5.4	TRENDS IN CASELOAD CHARACTERISTICS.....	131
5.4.1	Number of Reported Abuse and Neglect Incidents	132
5.4.2	Number of Children in Ongoing Cases.....	133
5.5	PERMANENCY OUTCOMES	134
5.5.1	Types of Exit from First Placements	135
5.5.2	Length of Stay.....	136
5.5.3	Use of Relative/Kinship Care	138
5.5.4	Adoption	143
5.5.5	Permanent Custody	144
5.5.6	Number of Placements.....	146

5.5.7	Less Restrictive Placements.....	146
5.6	CHILD SAFETY OUTCOMES	149
5.6.1	Recidivism Among Targeted Children.....	149
5.6.2	Recidivism in Closed Cases.....	151
5.6.3	Re-Entry from Reunification	152
5.6.4	Runaway	152
5.6.5	Use of In-Home vs. Placement Services.....	153
CHAPTER 6: YEAR 5 FINDINGS ON PERMANENCY AND SAFETY		154
6.1	INTRODUCTION	154
6.2	ANALYTIC APPROACH IN YEAR 5.....	155
6.3	CASE CHARACTERISTICS.....	158
6.3.1	Children’s Demographic Characteristics	159
6.3.2	Abuse/Neglect and Disabilities.....	160
6.3.3	Settings of First Placements.....	162
6.4	OVERALL EFFECTS ON EXIT TYPES.....	163
6.5	OVERALL EFFECTS ON PLACEMENT DURATION.....	166
6.6	COUNTY-LEVEL EFFECTS ON EXIT TYPES.....	167
6.7	COUNTY-LEVEL EFFECTS ON PLACEMENT DURATION	168
6.8	EFFECTS ON RE-ENTRY AND CASE OPENINGS.....	170
6.9	EFFECTS ON RUNNING AWAY	172
6.10	SUMMARY.....	173
CHAPTER 7: INSIGHTS ON WAIVER EFFECTIVENESS IN SPECIFIC COUNTIES.....		175
7.1	INTRODUCTION.....	175
7.2	FAIRFIELD COUNTY PCSA: CASE STUDY.....	176
7.2.1	Fundamental Changes in FCCSD Operations.....	176
7.2.2	Development of Dual Track Intake Accompanied by Prevention and Home-Based Services.....	178
7.2.3	Use of Various Placement Options.....	181
7.2.4	Permanency Outcomes.....	184
7.2.5	Conclusion	186

7.3	LORAIN COUNTY PCSA: CASE STUDY	187
7.3.1	Fundamental Changes in LCCS Operations	188
7.3.2	Expanded Home-based Prevention Activities	189
7.3.3	Fiscal Shift to Non-Placement Services.....	190
7.3.4	Changes in Amount and Type of Cases Being Opened	192
7.3.5	Reductions in Placement Use.....	193
7.3.6	Shifts in Foster Care Spending	198
7.3.7	Permanency Outcomes.....	198
7.3.8	Conclusion	200
7.4	MUSKINGUM COUNTY PCSA: CASE STUDY	200
7.4.1	Fundamental Changes in MCCS Operations	201
7.4.2	Development of Diversion, Prevention and Home-Based Services	203
7.4.3	Development of Less Expensive Placement Options and More Permanency Options	207
7.4.4	Permanency Outcomes.....	212
7.4.5	Conclusion	214
7.5	FRANKLIN COUNTY PCSA: CASE STUDY	214
7.5.1	Fundamental Changes in FCCS Operations	215
7.5.2	Changes in Use of Home-based and Prevention Services ...	217
7.5.3	Changes in Placement Use.....	221
7.5.4	Shifts in Foster Care Spending	225
7.5.5	Permanency Outcomes.....	225
7.5.6	Conclusion	227
7.6	STARK COUNTY PCSA: CASE STUDY	228
7.6.1	Fundamental Changes in Stark CSD Operations	229
7.6.2	Prevention and Home-based Intervention Activities	230
7.6.3	Increased Spending on Non-Placement Services.....	232
7.6.4	Changes in Placement Use.....	234
7.6.5	Shifts in Foster Care Spending	236
7.6.6	Permanency Outcomes.....	236
7.6.7	Conclusion	238
7.7	CLARK COUNTY PCSA: CASE STUDY	238

7.7.1	Fundamental Changes in CCFCs Operations.....	239
7.7.2	Development of Diversion, Prevention and Home-Based Services	241
7.7.3	Supporting Families in Order to Reduce Length of Stay and Return Custody to Families	246
7.7.4	Permanency Outcomes.....	249
7.7.5	Conclusions.....	250
7.8	SYSTEM REFORMS AND OUTCOMES ACROSS CASE STUDY COUNTIES	251
CHAPTER 8: SUMMARY OF EVALUATION FINDINGS AND NEXT STEPS		253
8.1	MAJOR FINDINGS.....	253
8.1.1	Demonstration Counties’ Response to the Waiver	254
8.1.2	Outcomes Resulting from Program and Fiscal Changes	257
8.1.3	Key Factors That Diminished the Measurable Effect of the Waiver.....	260
8.2	PROPOSED STEPS TO FURTHER ILLUMINATE WAIVER EFFECTS AND TO ENHANCE THE EVALUATION.....	263
8.2.1	New Areas of Research.....	263
8.2.2	Further Exploration of Areas Already Studied	267
8.2.3	Continuation of Prior Data Collection	270
8.3	CONCLUSION.....	271
EXHIBITS:		
<u>Exhibit</u>		
1.1	Variables Used in Choosing Comparison Counties.....	1
1.2	ProtectOhio Evaluation Counties.....	2
2.1	Sample Topics Explored Through Site Visits.....	14
3.1	List of Services Examined in Each County Throughout the Evaluation.	47
8.1	ProtectOhio Logic Model.	253

TABLES:

Table

1.1	Outcome Priorities.....	6
1.2	Emphasis Placed on Each Focus Area by Demonstration Counties.....	7
1.3	Evaluation Outcomes Focus.....	8
2.1	Process Implementation Findings in Years 2-4.....	11
2.2	Primary Waiver Outcomes Addressed in Process/Implementation Study.....	13
2.3	Components of the Year 4 Managed Care Index.....	19
2.4	Year 4 Managed Care Index Scoring.....	21
2.5	Counties Grouped by Level of Overall Managed Care Activity in Year 4.....	22
2.6	Tukey’s Quick Test for Differences in Managed Care Index Score.....	23
2.7	Demonstration Counties with Managed Care Contracts Over the Waiver Period.....	26
2.8	Use of Team Conferencing.....	32
2.9	Strategies Used or Planned to Increase the Number of Foster Homes.....	36
3.1	Year 5 Telephone Interview Topics and Counties Interviewed.....	45
3.2	The Six Mandated ODMH Community Mental Health Services.....	52
3.3	Number of Service Insufficiencies in Year 3 and Creation of New Service in Year 4.....	53
3.4	Prevention Groups.....	55
3.5	Counties Chosen for Year 5 Interviews.....	57
3.6	Demo vs. Comp Counties: Insufficient Outpatient Services in Year 3 and New Outpatient Services in Year 4.....	59
3.7	Who Pays the Medicaid Match for MH Services?.....	61
3.8	Counties With Groups Who Have Difficulty Accessing Mental Health Services.....	62
3.9	Number of Counties by Mental Health Wait Time.....	63
3.10	Percent of DYS FY99 Allocation Spent in FY99 by Courts.....	69
3.11	Strength of Relationship between PCSA and Juvenile Court, Year 2 and Year 4.....	70
3.12	Strategies to Reduce Inappropriate Referrals.....	71
3.13	Extent of Inappropriate Referrals.....	72
3.14	Groupings of Counties by Perceptions of Inappropriate Referrals.....	73
3.15	Strength of Collaborative Relationships Across Agencies.....	79
3.16	Shared and Pooled Program Funding.....	79

3.17	Collaborative Partners Survey Respondents, By Type	81
3.18	Survey Respondent Demographics	82
3.19	Characterizing the Relationship between Survey Respondents and the PCSA	82
3.20	Characterizing the Change in the Relationship between Survey Respondents and the PCSA since 1997	83
3.21	Community-wide Impact on Interagency Collaboration	85
3.22	The Change in the Relationship between Juvenile Court Survey Respondents and the PCSA since 1997	86
3.23	The Change in the Relationship between FCF Survey Respondents and the PCSA since 1997	87
4.1	Annual Foster Care Board and Maintenance Expenditures.....	97
4.2	Annual Foster Care Expenditures Compared to Baseline, Adjusted for Inflation.....	103
4.3	Tukey’s Quick Test for Change in Annual Foster Care Board and Maintenance Expenditures.	103
4.4	Paid Placement Days as Recorded in FACSIS.	105
4.5	Paid Placement Days Compared to Baseline.....	106
4.6	Tukey’s Quick Test for Change in Annual Number of Paid Placement Days.	106
4.7	Percent of Paid Placement Days Categorized as Residential Type Care.	109
4.8	Average Daily Cost of Foster Care Placements.....	110
4.9	Average Daily Costs of Foster Care Placements Compared to Baseline.	111
4.10	Tukey’s Quick Test for Change in Annual Average Daily Cost of Foster Care Placement.....	111
4.11	ProtectOhio Revenue for Flexible Spending, 1998-2002.....	114
4.12	ProtectOhio Flexible Spending and Revenue, 1998-2002.....	117
4.13	ProtectOhio Revenue for Flexible Spending, 1998-2002.....	119
4.14	Tukey’s Quick Test for Cumulative New Spending on All Other Child Welfare Services (1998-2002).	120
5.1	POA Findings in Years 2-4.	125
5.2	Destination of Children Exiting From First Placement Episode by Study Group and Time Period.	135
5.3	Different Exit Types: Summary of Length of Stay Findings.....	136
5.4	Most Frequent Approaches to the Use of Relative/Kinship Care.....	139
5.5	Percentage of Children in First Placement, Where First Placed, by Study Group and Time Period.....	148
5.6	Children Served In Home vs. In Placement by Federal Fiscal Year.	153

6.1	Number of First Placements, by County and Exit Type	158
6.2	Changes in Demographic Characteristics of Children in First Placements in Demonstration Counties, from Pre-Waiver to Waiver Period.....	160
6.3	Changes in Abuse/Neglect and Disabilities of Children in First Placements in Demonstration Counties, from Pre-Waiver to Waiver Period.....	161
6.4	Changes in Settings of First Placements in Demonstration Counties from Pre-Waiver to Waiver Period.....	163
6.5	Waiver Effects on Exit Types from First Placements for All Demonstration Counties.....	165
6.6	Waiver Effects on Duration of First Placements for All Demonstration Counties.....	166
6.7	County-Level Waiver Effects on Exit Types from First Placements.....	168
6.8	County-Level Waiver Effects on Median Duration of First Placements.....	169
6.9	Re-entry After Reunification from First Placement.....	171
7.1	Managed Care Components in Fairfield County.....	177
7.2	Participant Outcomes for Fairfield County.....	179
7.3	Fiscal Outcomes for Fairfield County.....	181
7.4	Change in Types of Placement Admissions, Pre-Waiver to Waiver, for Fairfield County.....	182
7.5	Median Duration of First Placements in Fairfield.....	183
7.6	Frequencies of Exit from First Placements in Fairfield.....	185
7.7	Managed Care Components in Lorain County	189
7.8	Fiscal Outcomes for Lorain County.....	191
7.9	Participant Outcomes for Lorain County.....	193
7.10	Change in Types of Paid Placement Admissions, Pre-Waiver to Waiver, for Lorain County	194
7.11	Median Duration of First Placements in Lorain	195
7.12	Frequencies of Exit from First Placement: Lorain.....	197
7.13	Managed Care Components in Muskingum County.....	202
7.14	Participant Outcomes for Muskingum County	204
7.15	Fiscal Outcomes for Muskingum County	206
7.16	Change in Types of Placement Admissions, Pre-Waiver to Waiver, for Muskingum County	208
7.17	Median Duration of First Placements in Muskingum.....	210
7.18	Frequencies of Exit from Placement: Muskingum	211
7.19	Managed Care Components in Franklin County	217
7.20	Participant Outcomes for Franklin County.....	218
7.21	Fiscal Outcomes for Franklin County.....	220
7.22	Change in Types of Placement Admissions, Pre-Waiver to	

	Waiver, for Franklin County.....	222
7.23	Median Duration of First Placements in Franklin.....	223
7.24	Frequencies of Exit from First Placements in Franklin	226
7.25	Managed Care Components in Stark County.....	230
7.26	Participant Outcomes for Stark County	231
7.27	Fiscal Outcomes for Stark County	233
7.28	Change in Types of Placement Admissions, Pre-Waiver to Waiver, for Stark County.....	234
7.29	Median Duration of First Placements in Stark.....	235
7.30	Frequencies of Exit from First Placements in Stark	236
7.31	Managed Care Components in Clark County	240
7.32	Participant Outcomes for Clark County.....	242
7.33	Fiscal Outcomes for Clark County	245
7.34	Change in Types of Placement Admissions, Pre-Waiver to Waiver, for Clark County	247
7.35	Median Duration of First Placements in Clark	248
7.36	Frequencies of Exit from Placement: Clark.....	249
8.1	County-Level Waiver Effects on Use of Relatives in Placement and Exit From Placement	270

FIGURES:

Figure

2.1	Change in Demonstration Counties' Use of Flexible IV-E Funds Year 2 to Year 4.....	29
2.2	Changes in Screening in Year 2 and Year 4	34
2.3	Counties Making Conscious Efforts To Increase Number of Foster Homes (Year 4).	36
2.4	Strategies Used or Planned to Increase the Number of Adoptive Homes.....	37
2.5	Strategies to Monitor Out-of-Home Placements.....	39
2.6	Use of Fiscal and Program Data.	41
2.7	Usage of Key Elements of CLA in Year 3.	42
3.1	Evaluation Outcomes Focus.	43
3.2	Percentage of Counties Judging Majority of Services Within a Service Group Sufficient.....	48
3.3	Insufficiency of Prevention Services.	49
3.4	Range of New Services Created in Year 4.....	50
3.5	Funding for Prevention Services.....	58
3.6	Medication/Somatic Wait Times.	63
3.7	Barriers to Obtaining Mental Health Services for Families.....	64

3.8	Other Needed Clinical MH Services.....	65
3.9	How Counties Address MH Service Insufficiencies.	67
3.10	Collaboration Survey Question #7.....	84
4.1	Foster Care Board and Maintenance Expenditures, 1998-2002, Compared to Inflation-Adjusted Baseline, Demonstration Counties.....	98
4.2	Foster Care Board and Maintenance Expenditures, 1998-2002, Compared to Inflation-Adjusted Baseline, Comparison Counties.....	100
5.1	Number of Child Abuse and Neglect Incidents By Federal Fiscal Year.....	133
5.2	Number of Children Served During Federal Fiscal Year.	134
5.3	Change In Number Of Children In Nonlicensed Relative Care Between End Of Baseline Period And Third Year Of Waiver Period.....	141
5.4	Change In Number Of New Children Eligible For Adoption Subsidy Between End Of Baseline Period And Third Year Of Waiver Period.....	143
5.5	Change In Number Of Children In Permanent Commitment Between End Of Baseline Period And Third Year Of Waiver Period.....	145
5.6	Change In Number Of Children In Permanent Planned Living Arrangements Between End Of Baseline Period And Third Year Of Waiver Period.	145
5.7	Change in Recidivism Within 6 Months Among Targeted Children Between End of Baseline Period And Third Year of Waiver Period.	150
5.8	Change In Closed Cases' Rate of Recidivism Within 6 Months Between End of Baseline Period and Third Year Of Waiver Period.	151