

Comprehensive Final Evaluation Report: Ohio's Title IV-E Waiver Demonstration Project "ProtectOhio"

Covering the Second Waiver Period 2004-2009



Submitted to:
The Ohio Department of Job
and Family Services
50 West Town Street, 6th Floor
Columbus, OH 43218

Submitted By:
Human Services Research Institute
7690 SW Mohawk St. Tualatin, OR 97062

In collaboration with:
Westat
Chapin Hall Center for Children at the University of Chicago
Larry Wallerstein, Independent Consultant

May, 2010
Revised September, 2010

Comprehensive Final Evaluation Report: Ohio's Title IV-E Waiver Demonstration Project "ProtectOhio"

Covering the Second Waiver Period 2004-2009

Human Services Research Institute

Madeleine Kimmich

Julie Murphy

Linda Newton-Curtis

Erin Singer

Kimberly Firth

Cailin Wheeler

Larry Wallerstein, Consultant

Amy Stuczynski, Consultant

Westat

George Gabel

Jane Mettenburg

David Judkins

Liz Quinn

Chapin Hall Center for Children

Fred Wulczyn

Andy Zinn

Britany Orlebeke

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER 1: INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW	7
1.1 OVERVIEW OF PROTECTOHIO WAIVER AND EVALUATION DESIGN	7
1.2 PROJECT MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES	10
1.2.1 Key Meetings	11
1.2.2 Data Management	12
1.2.3 Institutional Review Board Process	16
1.2.4 Reporting	16
1.3 ORGANIZATION OF THE REPORT	17
CHAPTER 2: PROCESS IMPLEMENTATION STUDY	18
2.1 OVERVIEW OF THE PROCESS IMPLEMENTATION STUDY.....	18
2.2 PROCESS IMPLEMENTATION SUB-STUDIES	18
2.2.1 Nature of the Sub-Studies.....	18
2.2.2 Data Collection Methods and Analytic Approach.....	20
2.3 CONTEXTUAL ISSUES INFLUENCING PROCESS SUB-STUDIES.....	22
2.4 FINDINGS: PCSA PERCEPTIONS OF WAIVER IMPACT	24
2.4.1 Waiver Impact on Case Processes	24
2.4.2 Waiver Impact on Agency Structure and Focus.....	25
2.4.3 Waiver Impact on Services and Supports	26
2.4.4 Waiver Impact on Interagency Collaboration.....	28
2.5 FINDINGS: PCSA RELATIONSHIP WITH JUVENILE COURT	29
2.5.1 Unruly/Delinquent Referrals.....	29
2.5.2 Communication between PCSA and Juvenile Court	30
2.5.3 Programs and Staff Dedicated to Unruly/Delinquent Youth	31
2.5.4 Title IV-E Courts	33
2.6 PERSPECTIVES ON WAIVER SUSTAINABILITY	36
2.7 SUMMARY.....	39
CHAPTER 3: FAMILY TEAM MEETINGS	40
3.1 INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW	40
3.1.1 Background on Family Team Meetings.....	40
3.1.2 Description of the ProtectOhio FTM Model	40
3.1.3 Evaluation Design.....	41
3.1.4 Data Collection Methods and Analytic Approach.....	44
3.1.5 Organization of Chapter 3: Family Team Meetings	49
3.2 FTM STRATEGY IN DEMONSTRATION COUNTIES: POLICIES, PERCEPTIONS AND OBSERVATIONS.....	49
3.2.1 Building the Infrastructure to Support FTM	49
3.2.2 Important Components of the FTM Process	56
3.2.3 Perceived Strengths, Challenges, and Barriers of the ProtectOhio FTM Model.....	65

3.2.4	Summary of FTM Practice in Demonstration Counties	69
3.3	FTM IN COMPAPRISON COUNTIES	70
3.3.1	Differences on the Core Elements of the Model	70
3.3.2	Differences in FTM Structure and Practice	71
3.3.3	Outcomes of Observed Meetings	76
3.3.4	Summary of Qualitative Differences between Demonstration and Comparison Sites	77
3.4	VOLUME AND NATURE OF FTM ACTIVITY THAT OCCURRED IN PRACTICE.....	78
3.4.1	Volume of Activity.....	79
3.4.2	Common Situations of Children Experiencing FTMs.....	81
3.4.3	Most Frequent Reasons for FTMs.....	83
3.4.4	Attendees at FTMs.....	85
3.4.5	Decisions/Recommendations Arising from FTMs.....	89
3.4.6	Summary.....	90
3.5	FTM Model Fidelity	91
3.5.1	Data Collection and Analytic Methods	91
3.5.2	Measures of ProtectOhio FTM Fidelity.....	92
3.5.3	Overall FTM Model Fidelity.....	98
3.6	CHILD LEVEL OUTCOMES: DEMONSTRATION VERSUS COMPARISON COUNTIES	99
3.6.1	Data Collection and Analytic Methods	99
3.6.2	Child Outcome Findings	102
3.7	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	111
CHAPTER 4:	SUPERVISED VISITATION.....	115
4.1	INTRODUCTION AND APPROACH	115
4.1.1	Defining the Supervised Visitation Strategy	116
4.1.2	Logic Model and Key Research Questions	118
4.1.3	Evaluation Methods.....	119
4.1.4	Organization of the Chapter	120
4.2	COUNTY-LEVEL PROCESS TO BRING VISITATION STRATEGY TO FULL IMPLEMENTATION.....	120
4.2.1	Barriers to Implementation	123
4.3	IMPLEMENTATION RESULTS AT THE CASE-LEVEL.....	124
4.3.1	Attendance.....	125
4.3.2	Visit Characteristics.....	127
4.4	COUNTY-LEVEL PRACTICE DIFFERENCES.....	136
4.4.1	Visit Location.....	136
4.4.2	Visit Supervision.....	137
4.4.3	Visit Frequency and Duration	138
4.4.4	Structured Activities	139
4.4.5	Barriers/Challenges.....	140
4.5	VISITATION OUTCOMES ANALYSIS.....	142
4.5.1	Analytic Methods.....	142

4.5.2	Outcomes Results	144
4.6	SUMMARY.....	151
CHAPTER 5:	KINSHIP STRATEGY	153
5.1	INTRODUCTION.....	153
5.1.1	Kinship Strategy Design and Key Questions	153
5.1.2	Evaluation Methods.....	155
5.1.3	Organization of Kinship Chapter.....	159
5.2	KINSHIP STRATEGY EFFORTS IN SIX PROTECTOHIO COUNTIES	159
5.3	HOW KINSHIP COUNTY EFFORTS DIFFER FROM THOSE OF OTHER EVALUATION COUNTIES.....	163
5.3.1	Differences at the Agency Level: Structure and Practice.....	163
5.3.2	Differences at the Case Level.....	170
5.4	THE KINSHIP CAREGIVER PERSPECTIVE.....	180
5.4.1	Overall Caregiver and Child Experience.....	180
5.4.2	Level of Support from the PCSA.....	181
5.4.3	Permanency Options.....	182
5.5	WHAT DID THE STRATEGY ACHIEVE?	182
5.5.1	System Level Outcomes	182
5.5.2	Child Level Outcomes	186
5.6	SUMMARY.....	191
CHAPTER 6:	ENHANCED MENTAL HEALTH & SUBSTANCE ABUSE SERVICES	194
6.1	INTRODUCTION.....	194
6.1.1	Background on the Mental Health and Substance Abuse (MHSA) Strategy	195
6.1.2	Evaluation Methods.....	196
6.1.3	Organization of Chapter.....	197
6.2	MHSA SERVICE DELIVERY SYSTEM IN DEMONSTRATION AND COMPARISON COUNTIES.....	197
6.2.1	Availability and Quality of MHSA Services.....	197
6.2.2	Structure of MHSA Service Provision.....	199
6.2.3	Process of MHSA Service Provision.....	202
6.2.4	Strengths and Barriers to Meeting MHSA Needs	204
6.3	ENHANCED MHSA STRATEGY IN FOUR DEMONSTRATION COUNTIES	206
6.3.1	Belmont County	206
6.3.2	Coshocton County.....	207
6.3.3	Lorain County.....	208
6.3.4	Muskingum County.....	208
6.3.5	Synopsis of MHSA Enhancements Across Strategy Counties	209
6.4	CASE-LEVEL IMPACT OF MHSA STRATEGY	210
6.4.1	Case Record Review Methodology	210
6.4.2	Service Provision and Speed to Service	212
6.5	SUMMARY.....	219

CHAPTER 7: FISCAL ANALYSIS	221
7.1 WAIVER STIMULUS	222
7.1.1 Theory of Waiver Stimulus.....	222
7.1.2 Structure of Waiver Stimulus.....	223
7.2 METHODOLOGY	224
7.3 FOSTER CARE EXPENDITURES	225
7.3.1 Paid Placement Days.....	225
7.3.2 Unit Costs.....	227
7.3.3 Foster Care Board and Maintenance Expenditures.....	229
7.3.4 Foster Care as a Portion of Total Child Welfare Spending.....	232
7.4 WAIVER REVENUE AND SPENDING	234
7.5 DISCUSSION.....	236
CHAPTER 8: TRAJECTORY ANALYSIS	238
8.1 THE THEORY OF THE WAIVER, SERVICE AVAILABILITY AND WORKER DECISIONS.....	239
8.2 METHODOLOGY	240
8.3 FINDINGS.....	241
8.3.1 Children’s Initial Maltreatment Investigations	241
8.3.2 Subsequent Maltreatment Investigation by Group and Fiscal Year	242
8.3.3 Children Not Served.....	243
8.3.4 Children Served in Home	245
8.3.5 Post-Placement Safety	248
8.4 SUMMARY.....	250
CHAPTER 9: PLACEMENT OUTCOMES ANALYSIS	251
9.1 INTRODUCTION.....	251
9.2 FIRST PLACEMENTS IN DEMONSTRATION COUNTIES.....	253
9.3 WAIVER EFFECTS ACROSS DEMONSTRATION COUNTIES BASED ON PRE-WAIVER CONDITIONS	256
9.3.1 Overall Effects on Exit Type and Median Placement Duration.....	256
9.3.2 Effects by Age Group on Exit Type and Median Placement Duration	258
9.3.3 Effects by Race Group on Exit Type and Median Placement Duration.....	261
9.4 WAIVER EFFECTS ACROSS DEMONSTRATION COUNTIES BASED ON FIRST-WAIVER CONDITIONS	263
9.4.1 Overall Effects on Exit Type and Median Placement Duration.....	263
9.4.2 Effects by Age Group on Exit Type and Median Placement Duration	265
9.4.3 Effects by Race Group on Exit Type and Median Placement Duration.....	268
9.5 COUNTY-LEVEL WAIVER EFFECTS BASED ON FIRST-WAIVER CONDITIONS	270
9.6 PLACEMENT RE-ENTRY IN THE FIRST WAIVER	287
9.6.1 Methodology.....	287
9.6.2 Major Findings	287

CHAPTER 10: CASE STUDIES: BELMONT & PORTAGE COUNTIES	291
10.1 BELMONT COUNTY	291
10.1.1 Introduction to Belmont County.....	291
10.1.2 Evolution of the Service Delivery System	292
10.1.3 Second-Waiver Strategies.....	298
10.1.4 Interagency Relationships.....	299
10.1.5 Fiscal Changes	301
10.1.6 Changes in Outcomes	303
10.1.7 Reflections on the Impact of the Waiver	307
10.2 PORTAGE COUNTY	309
10.2.1 Introduction to Portage County.....	309
10.2.2 Evolution of the Service Delivery System	309
10.2.3 Second-Waiver Strategies.....	312
10.2.4 Interagency Relationships.....	315
10.2.5 Evolution of Management Tools and Use of Data	316
10.2.6 Fiscal Changes	317
10.2.7 Changes in Outcomes	320
10.2.8 Reflections on the Impact of the Waiver.....	324
10.2 SUMMARY.....	326
CHAPTER 11: CONCLUSIONS AND NEXT STEPS	328
11.1 SUMMARY OF FINDINGS.....	328
11.1.1 Process Implementation Study: Supplemental Qualitative Findings (Chapter 2)	328
11.1.2 Family Team Meeting Strategy (Chapter 3).....	328
11.1.3 Supervised Visitation Strategy (Chapter 4).....	330
11.1.4 Kinship Supports Strategy (Chapter 5).....	331
11.1.5 Enhanced Mental Health/Substance Abuse Services (Chapter 6)	332
11.1.6 Fiscal Analysis (Chapter 7)	333
11.1.7 Trajectory Analysis (Chapter 8).....	334
11.1.8 Placement Outcomes Analysis (Chapter 9).....	335
11.1.9 Case Studies: Belmont & Portage Counties (Chapter 10).....	336
11.2 DISCUSSION OF THE IMPACT OF THE SECOND WAIVER.....	337
11.2.1 Implementation Findings and System Changes.....	338
11.2.2 Changes in Child-Level Outcomes.....	339
11.3 NEXT STEPS	341
REFERENCES.....	344