



**Ohio SACWIS Project**  
**Use Case IV07 Maintain Safety**  
**Assessment Information (UC74)**  
**Version 1.8**

October 13, 2004

Prepared By:

Dynamics Research Corporation  
4010 East 5<sup>th</sup> Avenue  
Columbus, Ohio 43219

## Revision Log

Version	Date	A/D/C (Add, Delete, Change)	Author	Document Section #	Description
1.0	06/16/2004		M. Gagnon C. Roy		Initial version
1.1	07/27/04		T Bendert		Revision during working session
1.2	8/2/04		T Bendert		Additional revisions prior to use case session
1.3	9/13/04		T Bendert		Revisions during session
1.4	9/30/04	A	T Bendert		Added Alt Flow to be able to link an additional report to an in progress safety assessment
1.5	10/1/04		T Bendert		Revisions prior to R. Made edits based on checklist
1.6	10/8/04		T Bendert		Revisions during working group
1.7	10/11/04		T Bendert		Revisions
1.8	10/13/04		T Bendert		Final revisions prior to R's

## Table of Contents

Revision Log .....	2
Table of Contents .....	3
1 Description .....	4
2 Characteristic Information .....	5
3 Basic Flow.....	6
3.1 Usage Examples and Narratives.....	7
3.2 Main Success Scenarios .....	8
4 Important and Required Fields.....	10
Section 2: Safety Factors.....	11
5 Special Requirements.....	13
6 Business Rules .....	14
7 Notes, Background Information, User Interface Ideas .....	15

## 1 Description

This is a CRUD (Create, Read, Update, Delete) use case regarding the primary business entity “Safety Assessment”. This use case describes the process for recording the caseworker’s assessment of safety factors in the alleged child victim(s) caretaker environment.

*The assessment of safety is a casework process that is conducted in the field. It is a separate and distinct process that precedes the recording of information gathered to inform and support the assessment of safety. (Complete JFS Safety Assessment Instrument)*

*The JFS Safety Assessment Instrument is designed to capture/record/support information related to child safety. The recording of an assessment of safety is a point in time documentation activity.*

A Safety Assessment is a decision-making and documentation process conducted in response to a child abuse/neglect and dependency, or any other instance in which safety needs to be assessed throughout the life of a case. It is completed within four (4) working days from the receipt of the report (completion = supervisory signoff). The safety assessment evaluates safety factors or signs of present danger, past history, child vulnerability and family protective capacities to determine the necessary safety response.

### **Terminology Key:**

**Report:** A report is a referral that is accepted by the PCSA for further investigation or assessment. A report includes child abuse/neglect and dependency. Safety and family assessments shall be completed on all intra-familial child abuse/neglect and dependency cases.

**Assessor/Investigator/Worker:** The PCSA employee who responds to reports to ensure child safety, assess risk and determine whether or not a report warrants agency services/involvement. NOTE: For purposes of the assessment/investigation use cases, we will use worker as a generic term.

### **CA/N legal definition:**

- Neglect (Baby Doe; medical; education; physical; lack of supervision; dangerous actions; DV)
- Physical Abuse; Sexual Abuse; Emotional Maltreatment
- Pregnant minor
- Minor mom

### **Dependency legal definition:**

- Pregnant minor

- Minor mom

**Information and/or Referral:**

- Referral for Community Services
- Information; FC Rule Violation; Add'l info for workers
- Overwhelmed Parent



**Family in Need of Services:** listed below are the types of referrals for Family in Need of Services and whether or not they need to have a Safety Assessment performed.

- Home Assessments - study evaluation; Incarcerated mom (Optional)
- PCSA requested interviews – courtesy (Optional)
- Unruly Delinquent (Optional)
- Preventative Services - prenatal toxicology (Optional )
- ICPC (Optional )
- Courtesy Supervision (Optional)
- Child Fatalities – not as a result of abuse/neglect (Optional )

**Deserted Child (Safe Haven):** a child whose parent has voluntarily delivered the child to an emergency medical service worker, peace officer, or hospital employee without expressing an intent to return for the child and who, pursuant to sections 2151.3516 and 2151.3517 of the Revised Code, is less than seventy-two hours old and has no apparent signs of abuse or neglect.

**Assessment/Investigator Worker Supervisor:** PCSA employee who supervises an assessment/investigation worker, manages, assigns and approves their tasks. The supervisor can do all CRUD activities within this use case.

**2 Characteristic Information**

Name	IV07 – Maintain Safety Assessment Information (UC74)
Scope	 Business – Assessment/Investigation
Level	 - Primary Task
Actor(s)	Worker, Assessor/Investigator Supervisor
Stakeholders and Interests	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. PCSA</li> <li>2. Child</li> <li>3. Parent/Caregiver</li> <li>4. ODJFS</li> </ol>
Pre-conditions	Create: A report is assigned to a worker and a case is open. (there cannot be a pending or in progress SA for a report.) Read/Update: A Safety Assessment Exists A Case is open

<b>Post-conditions</b>	Create: Contents of Safety Assessment are recorded in the system. Read: Safety Assessment is displayed Update: info is updated Delete: not an option after approval
<b>Trigger(s)</b>	A referral comes into the PCSA and is screened in as a report type of CA/N or Dependency for assessment/investigation.
<b>Requirements Addressed</b>	64, 65, 65.1, 65.2, 65.3, 65.4, 65.5, 66, 67, 68, 71 (64.1, 64.2, 64.3 are obsolete due to FAPM)

Figure 1 - IV07 Maintain Safety Assessment Information (UC74)

### 3 Basic Flow

- Upon receipt of a CA/N or Dependency report the PCSA worker shall conduct a safety assessment within four working days.
- Worker may optionally create a safety assessment for any of the following:
  - Home Assessments - study evaluation; Incarcerated mom (Optional)
  - PCSA requested interviews – courtesy (Optional)
  - Unruly Delinquent (Optional)
  - Preventative Services - prenatal toxicology (Optional )
  - ICPC (Optional )
  - Courtesy Supervision (Optional)
  - Child Fatalities – not as a result of abuse/neglect (Optional)
- At a minimum the worker will have a face-to-face interview(s) with the ACV(s) and one caretaker.
- At a minimum the worker will have face-to-face or phone interviews with collaterals (if available within the 4 working days).
- The assessment may include, taking photographs of the child’s environment, areas of trauma on the child’s body, securing medical and/or psychological examination/evaluation of the child, contacting law enforcement as necessary.
- The Safety Assessment shall consider the following elements to determine the degree of intervention necessary to protect the child. Safety threats within the family; the frequency and severity of maltreatment; the vulnerability of the child; the ability and willingness of the caretaker to protect the child; and the accessibility of the alleged perpetrator to the ACV(s)
- The Safety Assessment shall include:
  - Demographic information on: ACV(s); Siblings of ACV(s); The parent(s) or caretaker(s) of the ACV(s); Step/Half siblings of the ACV(s) living

within the ACV's home; Paramour of the parent/caretaker; Children of the paramour residing in the home; other children residing in the home of whom the parent/caretaker has custody/guardianship; a related or unrelated adult residing in the home who has routine responsibility for care of the ACV(s) and his/her siblings(s) (e.g., provides supervision or assists in meeting basic needs, such as feeding and/or bathing child) (including but not limited to the DOB, current age, and type of contact, at the time the Safety Assessment is completed)

- Safety Factors
- Historical information
- Child vulnerability
- Protective capacities
- The Safety Assessment results in one of the following Safety Responses:
  - Safe
  - In home safety plan
  - Out of home safety plan
  - Placement with Relative/Kin
  - Legally authorized out of home placement (Completion of the JFS 01510 is NOT required)
- The Safety assessment is signed and dated by the caseworker and supervisor within four working days from the receipt of the report of child abuse, neglect, or dependency.

### **3.1 Usage Examples and Narratives**

#### **Scenario 1: Create a new Safety Assessment**

E.g. 1. A PCSA worker is assigned a new report. The worker must conduct an assessment of safety and record decisions on the Safety Assessment Tool.

#### **Scenario 2: Read (view) an existing Safety Assessment**

E.g. 1. A PCSA worker wants to view a past Safety Assessment for one of their cases.

#### **Scenario 3: Update an existing Safety Assessment**

E.g. 1. A worker has received additional information which needs to be included on Safety Assessment that is in-progress.

#### **Scenario 4: Delete a Safety Assessment**

### **3.2 Main Success Scenarios**

#### **Scenario 1: Create a new Safety Assessment**

1. The Worker locates the appropriate case in order to create a new safety assessment.
2. The worker selects which report(s) to associate to the new safety assessment.
3. The system displays all persons listed in the selected report(s).
4. The worker selects which persons to include in the safety assessment.
5. The system autofills the Case Reference Name, Case Number, Agency, Caseworker Name, Children and adults in the Family.
6. The system autofills all of the allegation(s) and category/type of report(s).
7. Worker optionally [Views Online Help & Policy/Procedure \(UC313\)](#) for assistance in determining safety and risk factors affecting the case.
8. The worker records the assessment of safety on the JFSxxx Comprehensive Assessment Planning Model – I.S. Safety Assessment tool.
9. Worker records the safety assessment is complete.
10. System forwards the safety assessment to their supervisor via [CF14 Route and Apply Approvals \(UC256\)](#).

#### ***Alternate flows for Scenario 1***

*Worker needs to “add” participants to the SA that were not included in the report(s)*

- 1.2a 1. Worker inserts additional participants via [PM03 Find A Person Profile \(UC67\)](#) into the Safety Assessment.
- 1.2a 2. Continue to Step 3 of Scenario 1

*The worker has completed a safety plan, prior to creating the safety assessment, within the first 4 working days of receipt of a report and needs to complete the initial safety assessment.*

#### **Alt Flow: Safety Assessment is initiated from the Safety Plan**

1. The worker accesses the system to select the newly assigned report.
2. The worker selects the safety plan associated to the report.
3. The system creates the Safety Assessment tool, which is auto-filled with information from the Safety Plan.
4. The worker optionally views the [Online Help & Policy/Procedure \(UC313\)](#) for assistance in determining safety and risk factors affecting the case.
5. Worker saves the in-progress safety assessment or sends for approval via [CF14 Route and Apply Approvals \(UC256\)](#).

**Alt flow, define MSS factors of what autofills.** (factors and rationales are what will push/pull)

*Alt flow re: SA is not linked to a report (it was created as a stand alone)*

**Scenario 2: Worker Views (read) an existing Safety Assessment.**

1. Worker navigates to existing safety assessment, either from their workload or via [IN09 Find a Case \(UC325\)](#).
2. System displays safety assessment.

**Scenario 3: Worker updates a pending safety assessment**

1. Worker accesses the saved in-progress Safety Assessment from their workload.
2. Worker updates the safety assessment with any new information (e.g. another report has come in during the 4 day time frame).
3. Worker records their assessment of safety on the JFS Safety Assessment Tool and sends the Safety Assessment to their supervisor for approval via [CF14 Route and Apply Approvals \(UC256\)](#).
4. Worker records the safety assessment is complete.
5. System forwards the safety assessment to their supervisor via [CF14 Route and Apply Approvals \(UC256\)](#).

**Scenario 4: Delete Safety Assessment**

1. Worker accesses the saved in-progress Safety Assessment from their workload.
2. Worker selects the delete button.
3. System deletes the safety assessment.

*Alt flow: Safety Assessment has already been approved*

1. Worker accesses the saved Safety Assessment from their workload or via [IN09 Find a Case \(UC325\)](#).
2. System displays Safety Assessment with delete disabled.
3. Worker may not delete.
4. Worker closes saved Safety Assessment.

## 4 Important and Required Fields

MSS or AF Step	Fields
MSS 1 Step 2	The system must display all reports currently NOT associated to a safety assessment. The worker must be able to multi select if they are doing an assessment of safety on more than one report for any given safety assessment.
MSS 1 Step 3	The system should display all persons involved in the selected report(s). The worker then selects which persons to include in the Safety Assessment.
MSS 1 Step 5	<p><b>The system autofills:</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Case Reference Name</li> <li>▪ Case Number</li> <li>▪ Agency</li> <li>▪ Caseworker Name</li> <li>▪ Report Number(s)</li> <li>▪ Report Date (received by agency)</li> <li>▪ Allegation(s)</li> <li>▪ All Children in the Family, including their: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Date of Birth,</li> <li>○ Whether or not they are an ACV</li> <li>○ Relationship to the Reference Person</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪ All Adults in the Family, including their: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Date of Birth,</li> <li>○ Relationship to the child(ren)</li> </ul> </li> <li>▪</li> </ul>
MSS 1 Step 6	Allegations are pulled forward from any reports associated with the safety assessment. Allegations that are pulled forward are NOT editable. The worker needs the ability to

	<p>add additional allegations to the list.</p> <p><u>Categories of Intake:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ CA/N Report</li> <li>○ Dependency Report</li> <li>○ Family in Need of Services Intake</li> <li>○ Information and/or Referral (I &amp;/or R) Intake</li> </ul>
<p>MSS 1 Step 8</p>	<p><b>Safety Assessment tool:</b></p> <p>Date Report Received: Date screened in as report (system pulls from the associated reports)</p> <p>*The system must pull forward information from report members.</p> <p>Type of allegation(s) and/or type of report: Identifying Information:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Children in household             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ DOB, Age</li> <li>▪ Type of contact (contact info, face to face, telephone, etc.) autofills from the case activity contact log.</li> <li>▪ ACV indicator</li> </ul> </li> <li>2. Involved Adults             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Relationship to children</li> <li>▪ Type of contact (contact info, face to face, telephone, etc.)</li> <li>▪ AP/Non-offending caretaker indicators</li> </ul> </li> <li>3. For unsuccessful contacts, explain: date, time, who, what plans are for next steps for contact- text field</li> </ol> <p>Note: System should pull forward all persons involved in the report(s) and/or case. The worker must have the ability to remove autofilled persons who should not be included in the safety assessment. The worker must also be able to add additional persons to the safety assessment who were not identified in the report.</p> <p><b>Section 2: Safety Factors</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ 15 safety factors. Each Safety Factor response would be either yes or no. (dropdown)</li> </ul> <p>Business rule: Explanation for each response would have to be provided regardless of response. - Text field</p>

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Indication of Safety Factors that Need Further Assessment are flagged with a checkbox.</li> </ul> <p>Text field – autofills all flagged safety factors, needs to be editable for worker to add their plans for further assessment.</p> <p><b>Section 3: Record Historical Information</b></p> <p>For the <u>initial</u> Safety Assessment for a case the system autofills each individual’s (adult &amp; child) report disposition and finding for any report they are associated with. (Info from IV13). This information should display on the screen as non-editable. Worker needs a textbox in order to add additional information and a clarification summary.</p> <p><b>Subsequent safety assessments place holder</b> because the system will act differently in autofilling the history. System autofills historical info from most recent Family Assessment if one exists.</p> <p>All household members from previous family assessments. Ability to add new historical information from family assessment.</p> <p><b>Section 4: Child Vulnerability</b> Text field -describe child’s vulnerability Child (list children identified as children in household), DOB, Age; non-editable display.</p> <p><b>Section 5: Protective Capacities</b> Display adults identified, age, DOB, (from person management). Text box for narrative.</p> <p><b>Section 6: Safety Response</b> Allow selection of safety response by child. Business rules will be applied that if any child has a safety response other than ‘safe’, safe is not the safety assessment safety response. <u>Safety responses:</u></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Safe: All children-no safety threats</li> <li>▪ In-home safety plan</li> <li>▪ Out of home safety plan</li> <li>▪ Legally authorized out-of-home placement</li> </ul> <p>Any or all safety plan responses can be selected as appropriate. An explanation is required for any box that is checked with the exception of ‘safe’.</p>
--

	<p><b>Section 7: Signatures</b>  Caseworker Name -date  Supervisor Name -date  Supervisory comments</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ Route and approve use case #256</li> <li>▪ Safety assessment is considered complete when a supervisor signs it. Must be within 4 working days. *close of business on the 4<sup>th</sup> working day. (note: working day = Monday thru Friday)</li> <li>▪ Safety assessment is frozen at completion (after being signed off on.)</li> </ul>
--	---

Figure 2 - Important Fields

## 5 Special Requirements

- **Alert/tickler** needed for the 4 working days to conduct safety assessment if worker cannot make the time frame. The worker would fill out a justification (waiver). i.e. if the worker has attempted to have initial contact and was not able to get in contact with the correct people involved the justification (waiver) must be submitted to supervisor w/in the 4 working days. Once the justification is submitted the supervisor has 1 working day to get approval.
- The “supervisory approval of the justification needs to be executed within the 4 day timeframe.
- The safety assessment auto-populates into the Safety Plan and Family Assessment.
- If the Safety Plan is completed prior to the completion of a safety assessment the system will autofill information from the safety plan back into the safety assessment.
- The system must automatically create an entry in the case activity log based upon the completion date triggers outlined in each specific use case. (this applies to the safety assessment, safety plan, family assessment, case plan, case review, reunification assessment, case plan amendments, SAR, case disposition, Independent living plan, supplemental concurrent plan)

- Multiple reports associated to one safety assessment.  
Worker has received an additional report within the 4 day time frame. The report needs to be linked to the existing pending safety assessment. (either a new use case (linking) or it will just be in design where we add an insert button to insert an additional row and will pick from a list of reports that have not been associated with a safety assessment yet.
- 

## 6 Business Rules

- There cannot be more than one pending, in progress, or finalized safety assessment for any given report. If a worker attempts to create a new safety assessment when a pending, in progress, or finalized safety assessment is already in the system, the system should provide a dialogue box (popup) that says “A Safety Assessment Already Exists for this Report. Would you like to create a new one?” If worker selects “yes” to create a new safety assessment, the system must automatically end date the current safety assessment and use the copy forward feature to enable the worker to create a new safety assessment with information that was on the previous safety assessment in an editable format.
- A Safety Assessment **MUST** be created within 4 days of receiving a new report.
- Safety Assessment does not have to be linked to a report (no time frames). A worker may elect to do a safety assessment at any point during the life cycle of a case.

For scenarios of multiple reports associated to ONE safety assessment the following apply.

1. A safety assessment must be completed within 4 working days of receipt of a report.
2. For a subsequent report received within the first 4 working days the Assessment of Safety may be documented on ONE Safety Assessment Tool.

**IF:**

- Caseworker conducted all required interviews to complete Safety Assessment for both reports in first 4 working days.
- Supervisor has not signed off on the initial Safety Assessment Tool

Completion of another Safety Assessment Instrument is necessary **IF:**

- All assessment/investigation activities required by receipt of the report were not completed when the first 4 working days expire.
  - The initial Safety Assessment has been signed by the supervisor.
3. If circumstances warrant and the safety of the child has changed, the agency may elect to complete subsequent safety assessments at any point in time throughout the life of the case.

## 7 Notes, Background Information, User Interface Ideas

The system needs to have the ability to add new case members from within a Safety Assessment; easy navigation to person management is needed to accomplish this.

If the safety response is anything other than Safe, the PCSA immediately develops a Safety Plan. The system should prompt with a popup dialogue box if the response is other than safe. “It has been determined a Safety Plan is needed at this time. Please create a Safety Plan”

Requirements allocated to this common use case	
	<b><u>Primary Responsibility</u></b>
RFP64	<i>Original Wording:</i> The system must provide for the input, maintenance, and query of the Department’s model of: ( <i>Secondary Team 2</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review: <b>New Wording:</b></i> The system must provide for the input, maintenance, and query of the Department’s assessment of safety model.
RFP64.1	<i>Original Wording:</i> Preliminary Safety Assessment; ( <i>Secondary Team 2</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> Requirement is Obsolete
RFP64.2	<i>Original Wording:</i> Re-evaluation Safety Assessment ( <i>Secondary Team 2</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> Requirement is Obsolete
RFP64.3	<i>Original Wording:</i> Reunification Safety Assessment. ( <i>Secondary Team 2</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> Requirement is Obsolete
RFP65	<i>Original Wording:</i> Safety Assessments must include: ( <i>Secondary Team 2</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> No Change
RFP65.1	<i>Original Wording:</i> Responses to questions that determine Safety Factors; ( <i>Secondary Team 2</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> No Change

RFP65.2	<i>Original Wording:</i> Indication of Safety Factors that need further assessment; ( <i>Secondary Team 2</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> No Change
RFP65.3	<i>Original Wording:</i> Discussion of Child Vulnerability; ( <i>Secondary Team 2</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> No Change
RFP65.4	<i>Original Wording:</i> Discussion on the family’s Protective Capacities; ( <i>Secondary Team 2</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> No Change
RFP65.5	<i>Original Wording:</i> Safety Decision (Safe/Unsafe). ( <i>Secondary Team 2</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> <b>New Wording:</b> SafetyResponse
RFP66	<i>Original Wording:</i> The system must provide alerts to the worker when a Safety Assessment is due. Triggers and time frames will vary depending on the type of Safety Assessment required. ( <i>Secondary Team 4</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> <b>New Wording:</b> The system must provide alerts to the worker when a Safety Assessment is due.
RFP67	<i>Original Wording:</i> The system must alert the supervisor when a Safety Assessment is pending approval. ( <i>Secondary Team 4</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> <b>New Wording:</b> The system must alert the supervisor when a Safety Assessment is pending their approval.
RFP68	<i>Original Wording:</i> The system must allow for supervisory approval of the Safety Assessments. ( <i>Secondary Team 4</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> <b>New Wording:</b> The system must capture the supervisory approval of the Safety Assessments.
RFP71	<i>Original Wording:</i> The system must support, by access to current/historical information and online policy help screens, the evaluation and determination of safety and risk factors affecting the case. ( <i>Secondary Team 2 &amp; 4</i> ) <i>8/2/04 Requirement Review:</i> No Change
New Requirement	<i>New Requirement 7/28/04:</i> Ability to add new case members from SA  <i>Requirement Review:</i>
New Requirement	<i>New Requirement 7/28/04:</i> Pull contact information from AI02 Use Case  <i>Requirement Review:</i>
New Requirement	<i>New Requirement 7/28/04:</i> Ability to record contact information for AI02 use case (so they speak to each other) (parent(s); ACV(s); alleged perpetrator; all adults in the home; each child residing in the home; collaterals)  <i>Requirement Review:</i>

New Requirement	<p><u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> Ability to identify contact document (by individual or group)</p> <p><u>Requirement Review:</u></p>
New Requirement	<p><u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> Incorporate Safety Assessment field guide (need document) RFP 15 &amp; 700</p> <p><u>Requirement Review:</u></p>
New Requirement	<p><u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> Safety factor 15 which is 'other' – ability to record the description of the factor assessed.</p> <p><u>Requirement Review:</u></p>
New Requirement	<p><u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> Ability to flag a safety factor that may need further assessment</p> <p><u>Requirement Review:</u></p>
New Requirement	<p><u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> Flagged Safety Factors will carry into box for description of plans for further assessment</p> <p><u>Requirement Review:</u></p>
New Requirement	<p><u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> The system shall pull the "historical information" from the most recent Family Assessment for this family , Family Assessment section A &amp; B</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Pulled in historical info must be distinguished i.e. color code, from new historical information being added at the current SA.</li> </ul> <p><u>Requirement Review:</u></p>
New Requirement	<p><u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> In protective capacities section of the SA; pull information from Person Management for each adult identified in family name, date, age</p> <p><u>Requirement Review:</u></p>
New Requirement	<p><u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> If a Safety Plan was created prior to the safety assessment the system must pull in the children from the safety plan into the safety assessment.</p> <p><u>Requirement Review:</u></p>
New Requirement	<p><u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> tickler to caseworker's supervisor on start of business day on the 3<sup>rd</sup> working day (deactivated by refusal)</p>

	<u>Requirement Review:</u>
New Requirement	<u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> printed safety assessment in required for match ODJFS xxxxy
	<u>Requirement Review:</u>
New Requirement	<u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> all historical information for all household members from the previous family assessment
	<u>Requirement Review:</u>
New Requirement	<u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> ability to add household members from safety plan if safety plan was created prior to the SA
	<u>Requirement Review:</u>
New Requirement	<u>New Requirement 7/28/04:</u> Must have the ability to attach more than one safety assessment per report.

10/12/2004 4:56 PM