

ATTACHMENT C
RLB#: MIS-09-01
Technical Proposal Score Sheet

PHASE I: Initial Qualifying Criteria

The proposal must meet all of the following Phase I proposal acceptance criteria in order to be considered for further evaluation. Any proposal receiving a “no” response to any of the following qualifying criteria **shall be disqualified from consideration.**

ITEM	PROPOSAL ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	RLB Section Reference	YES	NO
1	Was the vendor's proposal received by the deadline as specified in the RLB?	IV./V.		
2	Did the vendor submit a proposal comprised of a Technical Proposal and, in a separate, appropriately labeled, sealed envelope, a Cost Proposal?	VII		
3	Vendor's proposal includes all required affirmative statements and certifications, signed by the vendor's responsible representative, as described in Attachment B to the RFP?	VIII. Attach. B.		
4	Included in those certifications, the vendor states that it is not excluded from entering into a contract with ODJFS, due to restrictions related to the federal debarment list, unfair labor findings, or R.C. § 9.24.	VIII. Attach. B.		
5	ODJFS' review of the Auditor of State website verifies that the vendor is not excluded from contracting with ODJFS by R.C. § 9.24 for an unresolved finding for recovery.	V., VIII. Attach. B.		
6	Does the vendor have a current and appropriate STS for the work described in the RLB?	I., V., VII.,		

PHASE II: Criteria for Scoring of Technical Proposal

Qualifying technical proposals will be collectively scored by a Proposal Review Committee (PRC) appointed by ODJFS, Office of Management Information Systems. For each of the evaluation criteria given in the following score sheet, reviewers will collectively judge whether the technical proposal exceeds, meets, partially meets or does not meet the requirements expressed in the RLB, and assign the appropriate point value, as follows:

0	6	8	10
Does Not Meet Requirement	Partially Meets Requirement	Meets Requirement	Exceeds Requirements

A technical proposal's total PHASE II score will be the sum of the point value for all the evaluation criteria. The review team will collectively score each individual qualifying proposal. Technical proposals which do not meet or exceed a total score of at least **200** points out of a maximum of **246** points, will be disqualified from further consideration, and its cost proposal will neither be opened nor considered. Only those vendors whose Technical Proposals meet or exceed the minimum required technical points will advance to PHASE III of the technical proposal score sheet. Once a vendor has been determined to go on to Phase III, the Bonus Points will be added to the total score of all of the required criteria. Those vendors who score less than the required **200** points will not be eligible for Bonus Point scoring. Bonus Points will have the effect of assisting a vendor in comparison of the cost per technical point value.

ITEM #	EVALUATION CRITERIA	RLB SEC. REF.	Doesn't Meet 0	Partially Meets 6	Meets 8	Exceeds 10
REQ. VENDOR INFO. & CERTIFICATIONS						
1	The vendor has included, properly completed and signed, the Required Vendor Information & Certifications as specified in the RLB.	V., A.				
2	The vendor has submitted a copy of their current STS cover page which demonstrates that the vendor is authorized to provide the services/personnel that they have proposed.	V., A.				

EVALUATION CRITERIA		RLB SEC. REF.	Doesn't Meet 0	Partially Meets 6	Meets 8	Exceeds 10
VENDOR QUALIFICATIONS						
ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERIENCE & CAPABILITIES						
3	Background information on the vendor, including subcontractors, if appropriate, indicating sufficient organizational experience and staffing to perform the required work. In the event that the vendor proposes the use of any subcontractors, information on the subcontractor(s) and letters of commitment are required as well.	V., B.				
4	The vendor has provided descriptions of at least two (2), but no more than four (4), workflow assessment and automation projects completed in the past three (3) years that demonstrate expertise in the software and type of application defined in Section VI, Scope of Work.	V., B.				
5	The vendor has provided the names and contact information for at least two (2) entities for which the vendor has performed similar scale projects in the past three (3) years.	V., B.				
STAFF EXPERIENCE & CAPABILITIES						
6	The vendor has identified the individual(s) to be assigned for the duration of this activity and are key to the activity's success;	V.,C.				
7	The vendor has included resume(s) of key staff expected to work on the project.	V.,C.				
8	The vendor has proposed three (3) Microsoft Network Engineers who meet the following criteria:	V.,C.				
8.1	Four (4) years experience administering Microsoft Windows 2003 Active Directory in a enterprise environment of at least 20,000 users;	V.,C.				
8.2	Fours (4) years experience administering COGNOS 8.X in a Windows 2003 environment;	V.,C.				
8.3	Four (4) years experience supporting Unisys E-Workflow imaging V 5.2 in a Windows Active Directory environment interfacing DP600 image scanners;	V.,C.				
8.4	Three (3) years experience administering Certified Mail V 3.X in a Windows environment;	V.,C.				
8.5	Three (3) years experience administering VMWARE 3.X on HP Intel Platforms;	V.,C.				
8.6	Three (3) years support of MS Internet Information Server version 6.x;	V.,C.				
8.7	Three (3) years experience administering DMZ and internal facing Web Farms using Application Center 2000 and CISCO Concentrators;	V.,C.				
8.8	Current Microsoft Certified Systems Engineer (MCSE) 2003 certification;	V.,C.				
8.9	At least two (2) years experience administering Windows 2003 Servers interfacing HP 8000 SAN environments;	V.,C.				
8.10	Enterprise experience backing up windows servers using Tivoli version 5.2 or greater;	V.,C.				
8.11	At least three (3) years experience administering Falcon Stor ISCSI Storage Server; and	V.,C.				
8.12	At least three (3) years experience administering SQL Server 2005 64 BIT in a clustered environment.	V.,C.				
9.	The vendor has proposed one (1) Technical Writer who meets the following criteria:	V.,C.				
9.1	Five (5) years experience enhancing documentation managing and resolving customer quality issues in large enterprise environments using Microsoft Office tools;	V.,C.				
9.2	Three (3) years experience testing and coordinating new features of documentation;	V.,C.				
9.3	Three (3) years experience in web documentation administration; and	V.,C.				
9.4	Three (3) years experience using the documentation products as DreamWeaver, MacroMedia Breeze, Adobe Writer, and Microsoft Office tools.	V.,C.				
Column Subtotal of "Partially Meets" points						
Column Subtotal of "Meets" points						
Column Subtotal of "Exceeds" points						
TOTAL SCORE:						

If the Vendor's Total Technical Score earned exceeds 200 points the vendor's proposal will proceed to the Bonus Scoring

Phase III

Bonus Points Desirable Traits and Qualifications (not required)		Partially Meets = 3 points	Meets = 5 points
1	Three (3) years experience designing and supporting Active Directory domains;		
2	Four (4) years experience supporting TCP/IP on Windows Server 2000 or greater; and		
3	Three (3) years experience supporting a integrated network AD 2003 and NetWare 6.5 supporting at least 20,000 users.		
4	Two (2) years experience supporting and monitoring servers using IP Monitor version 7 or greater;		
5	Two (2) years experience supporting TCP/IP on Windows Server 2000 or greater; and		
6	Two (2) years experience supporting integrated network MS and NetWare servers using Identity Vault.		
Total Bonus Points			

Total Qualifying Points	
Total Bonus Points	
Grand Total Points	