



ATTACHMENT C

FileNet Consultants
RLB#: JFS-IS-10-14
Technical Proposal Score Sheet

PHASE I: Initial Qualifying Criteria

The proposal must meet all of the following Phase I proposal acceptance criteria in order to be considered for further evaluation. Any proposal receiving a "no" response to any of the following qualifying criteria shall be disqualified, and none of its offered candidates will be considered for the project.

Vendor Name: _____

Table with 5 columns: ITEM, PROPOSAL ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA, RLB Section Reference, YES, NO. It lists 5 criteria for proposal acceptance.

PHASE II: Criteria for Scoring of Technical Quality

Technical proposals for each qualifying vendor (i.e., those passing all Phase I criteria) and each Report Professional Candidate offered by each qualifying vendor will be collectively scored by a Proposal Review Team (PRT) appointed by ODJFS.

- Does Not Meet Requirement/Expectation -- 0 points
Meets Requirement/Expectation = 5 points
Exceeds Requirement/Expectation = 7 points

Each candidate's total technical quality score will be the sum of the point value for all PHASE II evaluation criteria. The candidates who do not earn a technical score of at least 315 points (a score which represents that the candidate generally "meets" all the evaluation criteria) out of a maximum of 449 points, will be disqualified from further consideration.

Candidate's Name: _____

ITEM #	PHASE II EVALUATION CRITERIA	RLB SEC. REF.	weight	Doesn't Meet 0	Meets 5	Exceeds 7
ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERIENCE & CAPABILITIES						
1	Does the Vendor Profile give brief descriptions of, and provide contact information for at least two (2) recent references (within the past three (3) years), for similar work? (Yes = 2 pts., No = 0 pts.)	VII	1			
2	Does the work projects described indicate the general capabilities of the vendor to successfully manage the work described in this RLB?	VII	2			
CANDIDATE REQUIRED QUALIFICATIONS						
3	Five (5) years experience with FileNet P8 programming and development as a programmer analyst	V	15			
4	Five (5) years experience with Java programming and development as a programmer analyst.	V	15			
5	Three (3) years experience programming using relational databases (i.e., Oracle 11i) Experience in the designing and setting-up relational data bases, manipulating relational data, file structures and creating data models.	V	10			
6	Possess senior level software design and development programming skills.	V	5			
7	Five years experience in gathering, translating and documenting program specifications and design requirements.	V	5			
8	Three years experience performing unit and/or system testing.	V	5			
9	Three (3) years experience in object oriented programming techniques.	V	5			
(NOTE: If the vendor or candidate earned a score of "0" for any of the above criteria, the vendor or candidate may be disqualified at this point.)						
CANDIDATE DESIRABLE QUALIFICATIONS						
10	Three (3) years experience as a development team / project lead.	V	1			
11	Two (2) years experience converting legacy client or web applications to new web application technology.	V	1			
Column Subtotal of "Meets" points						
Column Subtotal of "Exceeds" points						
PHASE II TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE: (The point value earned on each criterion is multiplied by that criterion's weight, and then the sum of all those weighted scores is the Phase II score for the candidate.)						

Based upon the Phase II Total Technical Score earned, does the vendor's proposal proceed to Phase III evaluation? (Candidate's Phase II Total Technical Score must have at least 315 points.)

Yes _____ **No** _____

(If "No," this candidate is disqualified from any further consideration for this project.)

PHASE III: Cost Consideration

Only individual candidates earning at least **315** points in Phase II scoring will be advanced to Phase III review. Costs will then be considered for those candidates by ranking the vendor's hourly rate offered for their candidate's services. The Phase III cost score earned by a vendor will be added to the Phase II scores for each of the qualifying candidates offered by that vendor.

The vendor offering the lowest rate will earn a score of forty (40) points for the Phase III cost score. Vendors offering rates no more than 10% above the lowest rate will earn a score of thirty (30) points; those whose rates are more than 10% above but less than 20% above will earn twenty (20) points; those whose rates more than 20% above but less than 30% above will earn ten (10) points; and those offering rates more than 30% above but less than 40% above will earn only five (5) points. Vendors offering rates in excess of 40% over the lowest rate offered will earn no points in the Phase III cost consideration. The points earned through this process are the vendor's Phase III score.

The final grand total score for each qualified candidate will be the sum of the Phase II Total Technical Score plus the offering vendor's Phase III Cost Score.

ODJFS will select vendors' candidates based on higher final grand total (Phase II plus Phase III) scores. Even if the vendor earning the highest total score could offer all candidates needed for this work, ODJFS may, at its sole discretion for any administrative reasons, offer portions of the work to more than one vendor. However, if selections of multiple vendors' candidates are made, the candidates will be the successively highest scoring individuals.

Caveat: In scoring vendor bids, ODJFS reserves the right to waive minor defects, errors, or omissions in a vendor's submissions if those items do not unreasonably obscure the meaning of the information in the bids. ODJFS further reserves the right to contact vendors to request clarification of any information or materials in the bid packet. Any such communication initiated by ODJFS is not considered a violation of the Communication Prohibition Section of this RLB (Section XIV.).