



**Department of
Job and Family Services**

Child Support Enforcement Reports

July 1, 2008 through December 31, 2008

Federal Child Support requirements require Child Support Enforcement Agency (CSEA) program performance to be reported in the following five performance measures:

Establishment of Paternity
Establishment of Support Orders
Collection of Current Support
Collection of Past Due Support
Cost Effectiveness

The data is reported on the State OCSE 157/ODJFS4223 Report (QFR094RA). The report is generated quarterly, with a final Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) report submitted to the federal office. Performance line item data is measured either as it occurred during the reporting period or as a point in time measure. The data contained in this report is broken into six month calendar year periods, and are not reflective of the federal reporting period. The January – June report reflects months four through nine of the federal reporting period. The July – December report reflects the last three and first three months of two separate FFY reporting periods.

Performance Measurements

The attached performance measure summary details Ohio's state-wide percentages for the period of July, 2008 through December, 2008.

- ? Establishment of Paternity – Ohio's performance measure uses the state-wide paternity establishment percentage (PEP), calculated by of the number of children having paternity established for the current year, divided by the number of children born out-of-wedlock (BOW) during the previous year. County performance uses the IV-D paternity establishment percentage (IV-D PEP), calculated by dividing the total number of children born out of wedlock with paternity established or acknowledged in the CSEA IV-D caseload during the performance year by the total number of IV-D children born out of wedlock as of the end of the preceding performance year. The IV-D PEP measurement is reflected in this report.
- ? Establishment of Support Orders – This performance measure is calculated by dividing the number of IV-D cases with support orders established by the total number of IV-D cases.
- ? Collection of Current Support – This performance measure is calculated by dividing the amount of current support collected in IV-D cases by the total amount of current support owed in IV-D cases with orders.
- ? Collection of Past Due Support – This performance measure is calculated by dividing the number of IV-D cases with an arrearage collection by the total number of IV-D cases with an arrearage owed.
- ? Cost Effectiveness - This performance measure is calculated by dividing the total IV-D dollars collected by the total IV-D dollars expended. State cost effectiveness is calculated under a different formula and is not reflected in this report.



Child Support Performance Measures

For July - December 2008

County	IV-D Caseload	IV-D Disbursements	IV-D Paternity Establishment	IV-D Support Establishment	IV-D Collections on Current Support	IV-D Collections on Arrears	(a) Cost Effectiveness
State-Wide	980766	\$849,646,452.04	N/A	75.80%	68.66%	45.80%	817.10%
Adams	2189	\$1,639,326.41	89.07%	77.34%	70.39%	53.13%	\$4.66
Allen	11598	\$9,300,969.36	93.26%	91.58%	67.15%	47.16%	\$8.04
Ashland	3374	\$3,808,006.12	91.18%	87.46%	73.88%	52.77%	\$12.93
Ashtabula	9359	\$8,187,101.32	91.34%	81.19%	66.23%	44.94%	\$8.51
Athens	4439	\$3,045,926.27	90.64%	83.60%	63.03%	39.99%	\$3.52
Auglaize	2875	\$3,453,779.29	96.29%	89.84%	77.83%	57.10%	\$18.82
Belmont	5309	\$4,878,928.35	86.74%	82.97%	76.04%	56.43%	\$11.80
Brown	3721	\$2,935,579.42	91.76%	86.80%	68.92%	52.63%	\$14.24
Butler	26736	\$25,514,501.84	91.37%	85.22%	69.86%	48.53%	\$9.36
Carroll	1881	\$2,145,020.86	97.18%	92.19%	76.14%	56.72%	\$8.91
Champaign	2711	\$3,122,664.52	96.61%	88.34%	75.28%	56.60%	\$14.26
Clark	16824	\$12,248,823.45	93.90%	89.41%	62.16%	37.53%	\$5.72
Clermont	13449	\$16,485,581.87	98.41%	90.06%	72.10%	52.71%	\$7.93
Clinton	3419	\$3,310,902.57	87.60%	74.96%	69.68%	47.78%	\$6.61
Columbiana	10179	\$8,714,893.44	91.94%	85.06%	67.79%	48.51%	\$8.65
Coshocton	3118	\$2,752,760.21	98.79%	90.57%	73.68%	52.05%	\$5.42
Crawford	4974	\$4,036,476.77	92.71%	88.24%	65.41%	48.23%	\$12.76
Cuyahoga	137669	\$101,890,448.05	79.44%	67.00%	61.86%	39.36%	\$5.71
Darke	3016	\$3,706,204.80	94.69%	89.85%	74.42%	54.88%	\$12.44
Defiance	2929	\$3,379,355.55	94.08%	90.58%	74.81%	56.58%	\$9.03
Delaware	4508	\$8,366,155.11	92.02%	85.56%	80.83%	53.70%	\$13.75
Erie	7693	\$6,710,235.53	92.68%	89.28%	68.39%	48.98%	\$11.23

Footnotes: (a) The expenses only include County expenses, and do not include those on statewide contracts, the State Distribution Unit (SDU), and those related to automation, particularly the Support Enforcement Tracking System (SETS)."



Child Support Performance Measures

For July - December 2008

County	IV-D Caseload	IV-D Disbursements	IV-D Paternity Establishment	IV-D Support Establishment	IV-D Collections on Current Support	IV-D Collections on Arrears	(a) Cost Effectiveness
Fairfield	8345	\$8,630,111.10	87.59%	80.05%	71.11%	45.90%	\$7.44
Fayette	3023	\$2,477,869.51	85.55%	74.00%	69.59%	47.56%	\$7.38
Franklin	92201	\$73,434,753.06	71.86%	52.64%	68.80%	46.95%	\$11.07
Fulton	2452	\$3,052,965.45	97.51%	86.66%	78.03%	58.55%	\$10.09
Gallia	2488	\$1,718,225.23	89.90%	75.04%	69.01%	47.13%	\$5.18
Geauga	2843	\$6,594,477.13	97.19%	92.40%	82.22%	58.13%	\$18.55
Greene	8994	\$11,178,405.26	92.10%	87.75%	74.50%	51.77%	\$10.68
Guernsey	4066	\$3,745,620.81	99.20%	95.15%	67.45%	51.12%	\$4.44
Hamilton	82023	\$64,054,713.89	77.33%	70.50%	65.49%	43.96%	\$6.37
Hancock	4833	\$4,970,762.60	92.98%	85.99%	71.44%	50.66%	\$18.03
Hardin	2412	\$2,761,205.72	93.71%	91.79%	76.06%	55.82%	\$9.26
Harrison	1026	\$1,066,568.92	94.26%	88.11%	76.54%	59.19%	\$4.00
Henry	1795	\$2,304,651.57	97.34%	90.47%	79.32%	60.42%	\$9.00
Highland	3421	\$2,926,136.31	100.49%	89.86%	70.17%	48.09%	\$11.23
Hocking	2989	\$1,981,861.19	92.20%	73.20%	65.99%	40.11%	\$10.38
Holmes	1131	\$1,281,252.86	99.10%	91.42%	74.60%	52.48%	\$4.24
Huron	5514	\$5,713,805.00	96.00%	93.22%	71.36%	49.55%	\$14.26
Jackson	2949	\$2,413,167.00	89.34%	66.29%	74.50%	53.68%	\$8.85
Jefferson	5930	\$4,924,285.14	90.48%	83.24%	71.46%	46.78%	\$8.47
Knox	3571	\$3,618,977.13	95.77%	85.89%	73.38%	53.45%	\$8.89
Lake	11511	\$15,501,688.78	92.13%	83.26%	76.67%	59.15%	\$10.13
Lawrence	6267	\$3,896,489.47	82.87%	72.60%	68.20%	47.48%	\$9.13

Footnotes: (a) The expenses only include County expenses, and do not include those on statewide contracts, the State Distribution Unit (SDU), and those related to automation, particularly the Support Enforcement Tracking System (SETS)."



Child Support Performance Measures

For July - December 2008

County	IV-D Caseload	IV-D Disbursements	IV-D Paternity Establishment	IV-D Support Establishment	IV-D Collections on Current Support	IV-D Collections on Arrears	(a) Cost Effectiveness
Licking	12065	\$10,839,570.13	91.47%	84.45%	66.43%	48.82%	\$5.90
Logan	3962	\$4,142,467.33	93.06%	89.32%	72.20%	51.31%	\$5.84
Lorain	28004	\$24,651,098.67	89.68%	80.71%	66.89%	42.68%	\$9.48
Lucas	55493	\$38,410,377.56	87.29%	86.09%	62.50%	38.85%	\$7.69
Madison	2346	\$2,312,373.27	86.18%	66.50%	74.27%	54.75%	\$8.20
Mahoning	23896	\$16,026,913.89	79.00%	63.62%	72.15%	42.97%	\$5.73
Marion	5978	\$4,980,095.94	93.22%	87.62%	67.44%	49.82%	\$9.94
Medina	7365	\$12,690,434.70	97.10%	93.36%	76.52%	54.99%	\$14.43
Meigs	2163	\$1,418,059.40	91.42%	89.78%	64.73%	46.02%	\$6.93
Mercer	1977	\$2,134,103.82	102.74%	93.78%	75.07%	59.19%	\$7.31
Miami	7839	\$8,642,135.78	91.42%	87.56%	68.40%	48.83%	\$15.67
Monroe	1291	\$880,807.18	80.07%	59.10%	78.05%	50.80%	\$13.39
Montgomery	59974	\$44,638,129.93	77.98%	63.38%	69.01%	44.16%	\$7.31
Morgan	1142	\$899,171.46	90.78%	72.59%	72.80%	52.24%	\$8.21
Morrow	2020	\$1,956,185.65	100.00%	87.82%	68.50%	52.37%	\$6.06
Muskingum	9288	\$7,254,899.06	97.94%	80.80%	69.21%	52.59%	\$5.46
Noble	604	\$805,983.09	104.53%	97.02%	79.97%	61.58%	\$7.38
Ottawa	2249	\$3,092,307.15	99.54%	93.24%	76.88%	58.95%	\$8.97
Paulding	1230	\$1,462,288.73	96.51%	88.05%	76.91%	54.77%	\$9.83
Perry	3679	\$2,273,463.37	79.15%	72.06%	63.52%	39.60%	\$10.07
Pickaway	3503	\$3,623,708.24	95.92%	86.35%	69.27%	51.37%	\$9.16
Pike	2724	\$1,724,757.94	87.35%	75.18%	57.67%	39.60%	\$16.18

Footnotes: (a)

The expenses only include County expenses, and do not include those on statewide contracts, the State Distribution Unit (SDU), and those related to automation, particularly the Support Enforcement Tracking System (SETS)."



Child Support Performance Measures

For July - December 2008

County	IV-D Caseload	IV-D Disbursements	IV-D Paternity Establishment	IV-D Support Establishment	IV-D Collections on Current Support	IV-D Collections on Arrears	(a) Cost Effectiveness
Portage	8679	\$10,067,658.21	86.85%	79.47%	72.95%	51.22%	\$8.83
Preble	2542	\$3,100,501.87	99.17%	91.19%	71.12%	52.48%	\$11.05
Putnam	1312	\$1,762,843.65	98.83%	92.68%	79.76%	60.40%	\$8.97
Richland	9986	\$10,521,499.05	92.14%	81.62%	71.29%	52.18%	\$13.05
Ross	6900	\$5,956,576.34	94.16%	80.43%	68.81%	47.60%	\$9.00
Sandusky	5369	\$5,512,242.74	96.40%	89.85%	72.41%	52.63%	\$11.91
Scioto	7111	\$5,160,370.58	96.84%	83.34%	70.33%	43.69%	\$9.75
Seneca	4701	\$4,161,844.69	94.00%	90.13%	66.40%	46.49%	\$9.58
Shelby	4201	\$3,779,265.93	94.49%	81.24%	71.93%	53.01%	\$10.33
Stark	29907	\$27,753,884.62	88.60%	78.48%	74.24%	48.77%	\$13.21
Summit	52193	\$38,776,958.53	81.62%	77.56%	67.59%	41.98%	\$10.87
Trumbull	20749	\$15,838,754.79	86.85%	74.16%	64.61%	40.48%	\$6.44
Tuscarawas	7512	\$7,149,611.81	95.84%	89.52%	70.26%	52.01%	\$8.00
Union	2701	\$3,771,664.23	96.33%	92.00%	78.47%	58.09%	\$9.55
Van Wert	1847	\$2,023,064.02	98.53%	91.39%	76.41%	59.32%	\$6.17
Vinton	1137	\$829,315.16	93.10%	79.07%	67.41%	41.58%	\$6.78
Warren	11099	\$16,163,329.29	94.71%	90.31%	73.86%	43.78%	\$11.17
Washington	4064	\$4,107,425.99	92.86%	87.38%	74.19%	52.33%	\$12.75
Wayne	7284	\$7,466,864.41	93.81%	88.30%	72.39%	48.92%	\$10.22
Williams	3102	\$3,327,918.65	96.52%	91.84%	74.23%	53.07%	\$10.00
Wood	6457	\$7,828,894.70	94.62%	92.12%	75.68%	53.39%	\$10.00
Wyandot	1367	\$1,844,996.25	96.83%	91.73%	75.54%	59.26%	\$8.65
TOTAL	980766	\$849,646,452.04	N/A	75.80%	68.66%	45.80%	817.10%

Footnotes: (a) The expenses only include County expenses, and do not include those on statewide contracts, the State Distribution Unit (SDU), and those related to automation, particularly the Support Enforcement Tracking System (SETS)."