



Department of
Job and Family Services

Child Support Enforcement Reports

January 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008

Federal Child Support requirements require Child Support Enforcement Agency (CSEA) program performance to be reported in the following five performance measures:

Establishment of Paternity
Establishment of Support Orders
Collection of Current Support
Collection of Past Due Support
Cost Effectiveness

The data is reported on the State OCSE 157/ODJFS4223 Report (QFR094RA). The report is generated quarterly, with a final Federal Fiscal Year (FFY) report submitted to the federal office. Performance line item data is measured either as it occurred during the reporting period or as a point in time measure. The data contained in this report is broken into six month calendar year periods, and are not reflective of the federal reporting period. The January – June report reflects months four through nine of the federal reporting period. The July – December report reflects the last three and first three months of two separate FFY reporting periods.

Performance Measurements

The attached performance measure summary details Ohio's state-wide percentages for the period of January, 2008 through June 30, 2008.

- ? Establishment of Paternity – Ohio's performance measure uses the state-wide paternity establishment percentage (PEP), calculated by of the number of children having paternity established for the current year, divided by the number of children born out-of-wedlock (BOW) during the previous year. County performance uses the IV-D paternity establishment percentage (IV-D PEP), calculated by dividing the total number of children born out of wedlock with paternity established or acknowledged in the CSEA IV-D caseload during the performance year by the total number of IV-D children born out of wedlock as of the end of the preceding performance year. The IV-D PEP measurement is reflected in this report.
- ? Establishment of Support Orders – This performance measure is calculated by dividing the number of IV-D cases with support orders established by the total number of IV-D cases.
- ? Collection of Current Support – This performance measure is calculated by dividing the amount of current support collected in IV-D cases by the total amount of current support owed in IV-D cases with orders.
- ? Collection of Past Due Support – This performance measure is calculated by dividing the number of IV-D cases with an arrearage collection by the total number of IV-D cases with an arrearage owed.
- ? Cost Effectiveness - This performance measure is calculated by dividing the total IV-D dollars collected by the total IV-D dollars expended. State cost effectiveness is calculated under a different formula and is not reflected in this report.



Child Support Performance Measures

For January - June 2008

County	IV-D Caseload	IV-D Disbursements	IV-D Paternity Establishment	IV-D Support Establishment	IV-D Collections on Current Support	IV-D Collections on Arrears	(a) Cost Effectiveness
State-Wide	993360	\$902,415,335.09	N/A	74.89%	69.04%	64.12%	N/A
Adams	2177	\$1,733,082.22	96.35%	77.12%	71.70%	73.29%	\$5.01
Allen	12259	\$10,062,377.89	95.63%	90.36%	67.77%	63.78%	\$8.58
Ashland	3322	\$4,066,299.19	100.34%	87.36%	76.06%	75.96%	\$13.03
Ashtabula	9248	\$8,553,991.71	91.79%	81.35%	65.90%	64.07%	\$9.21
Athens	4322	\$3,332,817.91	90.68%	84.89%	64.81%	58.21%	\$4.50
Auglaize	2905	\$3,568,813.69	103.68%	89.05%	77.40%	77.48%	\$12.45
Belmont	5427	\$5,153,325.33	87.60%	81.79%	76.95%	75.82%	\$10.87
Brown	3636	\$3,161,281.83	93.30%	85.86%	69.24%	70.97%	\$11.95
Butler	26870	\$27,361,047.48	95.59%	84.55%	70.76%	68.45%	\$10.25
Carroll	1933	\$2,341,752.65	103.55%	89.76%	78.20%	78.39%	\$10.43
Champaign	2697	\$3,255,352.67	97.86%	86.69%	75.07%	75.21%	\$20.03
Clark	17272	\$13,117,061.37	98.91%	88.30%	61.79%	54.37%	\$6.24
Clermont	13817	\$17,681,384.49	103.83%	88.38%	72.80%	72.44%	\$9.04
Clinton	3409	\$3,544,260.57	87.35%	76.80%	70.39%	68.91%	\$7.30
Columbiana	10254	\$9,456,200.03	96.90%	85.23%	68.29%	65.61%	\$10.23
Coshocton	3161	\$2,969,881.04	102.22%	90.26%	74.01%	73.69%	\$6.21
Crawford	4999	\$4,382,310.55	96.59%	88.46%	66.86%	66.32%	\$11.57
Cuyahoga	140108	\$107,295,750.43	79.35%	65.23%	61.95%	55.66%	\$8.73
Darke	3051	\$3,959,435.32	98.25%	90.04%	75.00%	74.47%	\$14.76
Defiance	2952	\$3,566,338.56	104.10%	89.19%	76.18%	77.74%	\$10.02
Delaware	4524	\$8,706,200.15	100.71%	85.72%	80.48%	76.13%	\$19.93
Erie	7690	\$7,349,511.56	95.64%	89.45%	68.89%	67.62%	\$9.62

Footnotes: (a) The expenses only include County expenses, and do not include those on statewide contracts, the State Distribution Unit (SDU), and those related to automation, particularly the Support Enforcement Tracking System (SETS)."



Child Support Performance Measures

For January - June 2008

County	IV-D Caseload	IV-D Disbursements	IV-D Paternity Establishment	IV-D Support Establishment	IV-D Collections on Current Support	IV-D Collections on Arrears	(a) Cost Effectiveness
Fairfield	8287	\$9,285,743.77	95.37%	79.34%	72.50%	65.38%	\$8.26
Fayette	3388	\$2,746,229.84	72.89%	67.15%	70.13%	66.24%	\$8.40
Franklin	95768	\$77,233,416.82	71.82%	50.85%	69.09%	64.84%	\$12.51
Fulton	2502	\$3,283,991.31	107.21%	86.17%	79.76%	77.08%	\$9.33
Gallia	2432	\$1,746,213.52	93.91%	75.62%	71.08%	70.98%	\$4.59
Geauga	2895	\$6,981,118.84	98.82%	91.61%	83.26%	77.55%	\$21.18
Greene	9136	\$11,505,513.86	96.33%	87.66%	73.60%	67.75%	\$12.64
Guernsey	4188	\$3,918,849.84	107.24%	95.85%	67.34%	70.76%	\$5.28
Hamilton	85722	\$69,291,960.50	78.27%	68.49%	65.98%	61.84%	\$7.63
Hancock	4787	\$5,302,789.37	100.35%	86.19%	72.09%	70.23%	\$13.04
Hardin	2443	\$2,931,565.40	101.13%	92.47%	76.69%	73.38%	\$8.66
Harrison	1016	\$1,136,671.73	100.89%	89.76%	75.21%	75.07%	\$4.34
Henry	1784	\$2,350,368.01	103.76%	91.03%	78.77%	77.28%	\$9.87
Highland	3454	\$3,225,784.05	109.61%	88.68%	71.21%	68.55%	\$10.67
Hocking	2941	\$2,151,060.59	96.73%	75.45%	64.87%	59.38%	\$10.42
Holmes	1091	\$1,340,559.46	99.26%	93.40%	75.66%	74.91%	\$4.35
Huron	5488	\$6,046,601.82	103.27%	93.02%	71.71%	71.45%	\$17.51
Jackson	2915	\$2,519,408.48	97.19%	67.92%	74.76%	71.68%	\$7.91
Jefferson	5903	\$5,340,268.86	90.20%	82.91%	72.77%	68.85%	\$7.34
Knox	3623	\$3,863,655.39	97.48%	84.16%	73.21%	73.50%	\$10.27
Lake	11633	\$16,108,542.50	95.24%	82.67%	76.41%	75.80%	\$11.72
Lawrence	6152	\$4,282,083.02	86.04%	74.80%	69.97%	68.72%	\$8.08

Footnotes: (a) The expenses only include County expenses, and do not include those on statewide contracts, the State Distribution Unit (SDU), and those related to automation, particularly the Support Enforcement Tracking System (SETS)."



Child Support Performance Measures

For January - June 2008

County	IV-D Caseload	IV-D Disbursements	IV-D Paternity Establishment	IV-D Support Establishment	IV-D Collections on Current Support	IV-D Collections on Arrears	(a) Cost Effectiveness
Licking	11908	\$11,546,024.03	95.70%	85.55%	66.61%	67.93%	\$6.91
Logan	4002	\$4,437,657.43	97.11%	87.93%	73.81%	72.10%	\$8.23
Lorain	27673	\$26,075,895.55	94.51%	81.53%	67.14%	60.90%	\$9.57
Lucas	56323	\$41,196,769.22	90.00%	85.18%	62.98%	55.58%	\$8.65
Madison	2414	\$2,421,056.68	86.12%	64.91%	75.18%	74.51%	\$9.40
Mahoning	24615	\$16,901,855.10	78.31%	60.80%	72.50%	61.32%	\$5.71
Marion	6291	\$5,496,204.61	99.16%	83.29%	69.30%	70.62%	\$11.48
Medina	7366	\$13,286,880.23	103.53%	93.42%	76.56%	74.31%	\$13.00
Meigs	2158	\$1,518,440.83	89.67%	90.92%	65.61%	63.42%	\$8.10
Mercer	1973	\$2,282,396.16	108.73%	92.70%	77.93%	78.96%	\$8.97
Miami	7945	\$9,106,454.38	89.93%	88.08%	68.90%	68.00%	\$14.46
Monroe	1207	\$969,684.99	73.08%	62.80%	78.16%	70.38%	\$27.14
Montgomery	58800	\$46,905,546.64	79.97%	64.93%	69.34%	61.10%	\$8.54
Morgan	1166	\$988,103.59	89.12%	73.93%	73.67%	73.38%	\$7.95
Morrow	2010	\$2,130,436.69	103.66%	87.56%	69.36%	72.69%	\$7.51
Muskingum	9096	\$7,796,702.35	102.46%	81.94%	69.14%	70.44%	\$4.68
Noble	637	\$838,388.93	108.65%	94.51%	78.11%	83.72%	\$6.58
Ottawa	2364	\$3,257,992.67	102.31%	93.70%	76.24%	76.30%	\$9.90
Paulding	1207	\$1,532,463.97	104.28%	89.23%	77.96%	76.69%	\$10.15
Perry	3526	\$2,543,448.61	77.50%	74.31%	64.47%	61.55%	\$9.73
Pickaway	3428	\$3,817,700.79	101.58%	88.21%	69.29%	70.77%	\$8.49
Pike	2511	\$1,900,662.27	94.53%	78.61%	58.63%	62.31%	\$10.92

Footnotes: (a)

The expenses only include County expenses, and do not include those on statewide contracts, the State Distribution Unit (SDU), and those related to automation, particularly the Support Enforcement Tracking System (SETS)."



Child Support Performance Measures

For January - June 2008

County	IV-D Caseload	IV-D Disbursements	IV-D Paternity Establishment	IV-D Support Establishment	IV-D Collections on Current Support	IV-D Collections on Arrears	(a) Cost Effectiveness
Portage	8733	\$10,444,011.55	91.84%	77.59%	72.76%	69.32%	\$9.73
Preble	2666	\$3,292,390.04	100.23%	86.91%	71.80%	72.80%	\$8.39
Putnam	1294	\$1,952,946.21	102.29%	93.66%	80.88%	83.55%	\$11.23
Richland	10546	\$11,095,378.70	93.32%	79.64%	71.36%	68.96%	\$12.76
Ross	6833	\$6,292,916.52	98.80%	79.35%	68.55%	68.72%	\$11.12
Sandusky	5494	\$6,030,593.67	100.27%	90.03%	71.54%	70.13%	\$15.49
Scioto	7710	\$5,398,291.71	98.49%	79.31%	70.83%	63.67%	\$11.11
Seneca	4732	\$4,544,135.76	101.07%	89.94%	67.57%	65.42%	\$10.68
Shelby	4107	\$4,019,179.70	98.30%	82.57%	72.58%	69.38%	\$11.59
Stark	30121	\$29,540,944.09	90.77%	77.45%	74.59%	67.64%	\$13.19
Summit	52282	\$41,199,319.02	83.53%	77.34%	67.32%	59.85%	\$11.92
Trumbull	20495	\$17,109,016.51	89.93%	71.89%	66.02%	60.75%	\$7.28
Tuscarawas	7527	\$7,509,110.03	101.02%	90.41%	70.24%	70.80%	\$9.15
Union	2631	\$3,870,206.38	102.48%	91.11%	78.56%	76.48%	\$12.28
Van Wert	1868	\$2,174,645.30	106.48%	91.86%	76.57%	79.09%	\$7.45
Vinton	1187	\$899,889.46	90.79%	73.72%	67.40%	64.42%	\$8.74
Warren	10793	\$16,855,610.45	99.31%	91.45%	74.59%	63.15%	\$13.66
Washington	4081	\$4,197,477.37	100.28%	86.72%	73.54%	70.76%	\$11.52
Wayne	7112	\$7,813,492.54	96.74%	89.78%	73.06%	71.12%	\$11.11
Williams	3158	\$3,675,669.36	102.49%	91.20%	75.43%	76.34%	\$12.01
Wood	6413	\$8,412,931.36	98.64%	91.05%	77.03%	73.88%	\$9.60
Wyandot	1376	\$1,925,540.02	103.99%	94.11%	76.59%	77.31%	\$9.96
TOTAL	993360	\$902,415,335.09	N/A	74.89%	69.04%	64.12%	N/A

Footnotes: (a) The expenses only include County expenses, and do not include those on statewide contracts, the State Distribution Unit (SDU), and those related to automation, particularly the Support Enforcement Tracking System (SETS)."