

RLB#: R-89-07-1186
ATTACHMENT B
Technical Proposal Score Sheet

PHASE I: Qualifying Criteria for Distribution to Proposal Review Committee

The proposal must meet all of the following PHASE I criteria in order to be considered for further evaluation. Any proposal receiving a “no” response shall be disqualified from further consideration.

ITEM	CRITERIA Any “NO” answer will disqualify the proposal.	RFP SECTION	YES	NO
1	Was the vendor’s proposal received by the deadline as specified in the RLB?	II		
2	Vendor’s proposal includes all required affirmative statements and certifications, signed by the vendor’s responsible representative, as described in Attachment D to the RFP?	X		
3	ODJFS’ review of the Auditor of State website verifies that the vendor is not excluded from contracting with ODJFS by R.C. § 9.24 for an unresolved finding for recovery.	XII		
4	Did the review team (in its initial/cursory review of the vendor’s proposal) determine that the proposal was free of trade secret/proprietary information as specified/restricted in the RFP?	XII		
5	Did the vendor submit five (5) copies of its Technical Proposal in an envelope labeled: “ NOTE: TECHNICAL PROPOSAL ENCLOSED FOR MTA RLB#: R-67-07-0824 FROM (VENDOR’S NAME) ”?	II		
6	Did the proposal include proof that vendor is a licensed and/or credentialed professional?	I & V		

PHASE II: Evaluation Criteria for Scoring of Technical Proposal

Qualifying technical proposals will be collectively scored by a proposal review committee appointed by ODJFS, Office of Ohio Health Plans. For each of the evaluation criteria given in the following score sheet, reviewers will judge whether the technical proposal exceeds, meets, partially meets or does not meet the requirements expressed in the RFP, and assign the appropriate point value, as follows:

0 6 8 10
 Does Not Meet Partially Meets Meets Requirement Exceeds
 Requirements Requirements Requirements Requirements

A technical proposal’s total PHASE II score will be the sum of the point value for all the evaluation

criteria. The review team will collectively score each individual qualifying proposal. Technical proposals which do not meet or exceed a total score of at least **200** points (a score which represents that it “meets” all the evaluation criteria) out of a maximum of **260** points, will be disqualified from further consideration, and its cost proposal will neither be opened nor considered. Only those vendors whose Technical Proposals meet or exceed the minimum required technical points will advance to PHASE III of the technical proposal score sheet.

Evaluation Criteria		Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds
		0	6	8	10
TECHNICAL PROPOSAL					
1	Is the applicant Board Certified (if applicable)?				
2	Does the applicant have a current/active practice?				
3	Is the applicant currently affiliated with a College or University in field of expertise?				
4	Has the applicant provided references from professional organizations or associations?				
5	Has the applicant participated in the fair hearing process?				
6	Does the proposal demonstrate experience in the review and documentation of medical evidence, writing of medical assessments, and investigatory responses?				
7	Does the proposal demonstrate experience during the application of policy and procedures to insure that decisions are in conformance with the program guidelines for medical evaluations?				
8	Has the applicant given expert witness testimony during fair hearings?				
9	Has the applicant participated with any kind of independent medical review?				
10	Does the proposal demonstrate experience in the identification of medical issues that require medical advice or clarification to ensure that all medical issues identified have been adequately addressed?				
11	Does the proposal demonstrate experience with providing confirmation or rebuttal statements of other medical assessments?				
12	Has the applicant provided experience giving expert witness testimony at hearings and trials related to medical reviews?				
13	Has the applicant participated in peer review activities?				
14	Does the applicant have experience assessed peer reviews?				
15	Has the applicant participated in the peer review process as a reviewer?				
16	Does the applicant have experience in the establishment of policy and quality assurance procedures for peer reviews?				
17	Has the applicant demonstrated experience in the development of program policies?				
Evaluation Criteria		Does Not Meet	Partially Meets	Meets	Exceeds

		0	6	8	10
18	Does the proposal demonstrate experience in service utilization methodology?				
19	Does the proposal demonstrate experience in the cost saving approaches relative to various components of a health care program?				
20	Does the proposal demonstrate experience in developing cost-saving approaches relative to various components of a disability determination program?				
21	Does the proposal demonstrate basic computer systems experience including and not limited to Microsoft Office Suite and Internet functions?				
22	Does the proposal demonstrate experience in the clinical assessment of individuals with mental retardation and development disabilities and their medical and behavior support needs?				
23	Does the proposal demonstrate documented experience reviewing electronic patient clinical records and making medical determinations relative to requests for coverage of services under any public or private health insurance program?				
24	Does the proposal demonstrate experience reviewing electronic patient clinical records and making recommendations about the clinical evidence?				
25	Does the proposal demonstrate experience reviewing <i>electronic</i> health care claims prior to payment processing to determine medical necessity?				
26	Does the proposal demonstrate experience with the Medicaid program and/or Public Assistance?				
27	Does the proposal demonstrate experience participating on any of the following Medicaid committee(s) or similar committee with any public or private health insurer: Special Review Committee or DUR Committee, Task Force for Children and Adults with special needs, ad/or Ad Hoc committees?				
TRADE SECRET INFORMATION				Yes	No
28	The review team in its comprehensive review of the vendor's proposal has determined that the proposal was free of trade secret/proprietary information as specified/restricted in the RFP. [A "no" response will disqualify the vendor's proposal and will not advance to the consideration of the vendor's Cost Proposal.]				
Column Subtotal of "Does Not Meet" points					
Column Subtotal of "Partially Meets" points					
Column Subtotal of "Meets" points					
Column Subtotal of Exceeds" points					
GRAND TOTAL SCORE					