

ATTACHMENT D.  
**RLB#: R-89-01-0973**  
**Technical Proposal Score Sheet**  
**The GIS Component**

**PHASE I: Initial Qualifying Criteria**

The proposal must meet all of the following Phase I proposal acceptance criteria in order to be considered for further evaluation. Any proposal receiving a “no” response to any of the following qualifying criteria **shall be disqualified from consideration**.

ITEM	PROPOSAL ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	RLB Section Reference	YES	NO
1	Was the vendor’s proposal received by the deadline as specified in the RLB?	IV./V.		
2	Did the vendor submit a proposal comprised of a Technical Proposal?	IX.		
3	Vendor’s proposal includes all required affirmative statements and certifications, signed by the vendor’s responsible representative, as described in Attachment B to the RFP?	VIII. Attach. B.		
4	Included in those certifications, the vendor states that it is not excluded from entering into a contract with ODJFS, due to restrictions related to the federal debarment list, unfair labor findings, or R.C. § 9.24.	VIII. Attach. B.		
5	ODJFS’ review of the Auditor of State website verifies that the vendor is not excluded from contracting with ODJFS by R.C. § 9.24 for an unresolved finding for recovery.	VIII. Attach. B.		
6	The vendor has a minimum of two (2) years of experience in the field of information technology, e-commerce, e-philanthropy, e-marketing, or a related field.	V		
7	The vendor has two (2) years of experience in the field of information technology, e-commerce, e-philanthropy, e-marketing, or a related field.	V		

**PHASE II: Criteria for Scoring of Technical Proposal**

Qualifying technical proposals will be collectively scored by a Proposal Review Committee (PRC) appointed by ODJFS, Office of Ohio Health Plans. For each of the evaluation criteria given in the following score sheet, reviewers will collectively judge whether the technical proposal exceeds, meets, partially meets or does not meet the requirements expressed in the RLB, and assign the appropriate point value, as follows:

0	6	8	10
Does Not Meet Requirement	Partially Meets Requirement	Meets Requirement	Exceeds Requirements

A technical proposal’s total PHASE II score will be the sum of the point value for all the evaluation criteria. The review team will collectively score each individual qualifying proposal. Technical proposals which do not meet or exceed a total score of at least **120** points (a score which represents that it generally “meets” all the evaluation criteria) out of a maximum of **170** points, will be disqualified from further consideration.

ITEM #	EVALUATION CRITERIA	RLB SEC. REF.	Weighting	Doesn’t Meet 0	Partially Meets 6	Meets 8	Exceeds 10
<b>REQ. VENDOR INFO. &amp; CERTIFICATIONS</b>							
1	The vendor has included, properly completed and signed, the Required Vendor Information & Certifications as specified in the RLB.	VIII	1				
<b>VENDOR QUALIFICATIONS</b>							
<b>ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERIENCE &amp; CAPABILITIES</b>							
2	The vendor has samples of two reports completed for similar projects during the past five years that demonstrate the staff/team expertise necessary to successfully accomplish this project	V, B. 1	1				
3	The vendor has names and contact information for at least two entities for which the vendor and/or the vendor’s subcontractor(s) has/have	V, B. 2	1				

	performed similar large scale projects in the past five years.						
<b>STAFF EXPERIENCE &amp; CAPABILITIES</b>							
4	The vendor staff have experience in designing, installing, supporting, and troubleshooting e-commerce, e-matching, and/or e-philanthropy web-based networks.	V, C. 2	1				
5	The vendor staff have experience in web graphics, styles, layout, and navigation	V, C. 2	1				
6	The vendor staff have experience with GIS hardware and software and in developing and maintaining GIS applications	V, C. 2	1				
7	The vendor staff have experience in planning and conducting user tests and documenting and responding to the results	V, C. 2	1				
8	The vendor staff have experience in conducting research and staying current in the best practices and emerging technologies of web-based design and implementation	V, C. 2	1				
9	The vendor staff have experience in collaborating with clients and all project stakeholders, including IT staff, project managers, and end users	V, C. 2	1				
10	The vendor staff have experience in identifying needs and recommending timely solutions to satisfy technological and budgetary constraints	V, C. 2	1				
11	The proposal has documented a minimum of two years of relevant project experience for each key staff member.	V, C. 2	1				
<b>SCOPE OF WORK &amp; SPECIFICATIONS OF DELIVERABLES</b>							
12	The vendor has provided how best to approach the Web-based Tool with GIS component project, including a list of all the necessary steps GOFBCI must take to execute the project;	VI, 2. 1	1				
13	The vendor has provided a plan for how it would determine what to expect in terms of both the initial and ongoing costs associated with the project;	VI, 2. 1	1				
14	The vendor has provided a plan for how it would determine the possible state, federal and private funding sources available for the project;	VI, 2. 1	1				
15	The vendor has provided a plan for how it would determine characteristics of firms or organizations a best positioned to create the Web-based Tool with GIS component project;	VI, 2. 1	1				
16	The vendor has provided a plan for how it would identify successful models and/or projects encountered in the research and data collection phase	VI, 2. 2	1				
17	The vendor has provided a plan for how it would determine comparisons of the pros and cons of each model and/or project.	VI, 2. 2	1				
<b>Column Subtotal of "Partially Meets" points</b>							
<b>Column Subtotal of "Meets" points</b>							
<b>Column Subtotal of "Exceeds" points</b>							
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE:</b>							

ATTACHMENT D.  
**RLB#: R-89-01-0973**  
**Technical Proposal Score Sheet**  
**The Inventory**

**PHASE I: Initial Qualifying Criteria**

The proposal must meet all of the following Phase I proposal acceptance criteria in order to be considered for further evaluation. Any proposal receiving a “no” response to any of the following qualifying criteria **shall be disqualified from consideration**.

ITEM	PROPOSAL ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	RLB Section Reference	YES	NO
1	Was the vendor’s proposal received by the deadline as specified in the RLB?	IV./V.		
2	Did the vendor submit a proposal comprised of a Technical Proposal?	IX.		
3	Vendor’s proposal includes all required affirmative statements and certifications, signed by the vendor’s responsible representative, as described in Attachment B to the RFP?	VIII. Attach. B.		
4	Included in those certifications, the vendor states that it is not excluded from entering into a contract with ODJFS, due to restrictions related to the federal debarment list, unfair labor findings, or R.C. § 9.24.	VIII. Attach. B.		
5	ODJFS’ review of the Auditor of State website verifies that the vendor is not excluded from contracting with ODJFS by R.C. § 9.24 for an unresolved finding for recovery.	VIII. Attach. B.		
6	The vendor has a minimum of two (2) years of experience in the field of information technology, e-commerce, e-philanthropy, e-marketing, or a related field.	V		
7	The vendor has two (2) years of experience in the field of information technology, e-commerce, e-philanthropy, e-marketing, or a related field.	V		

**PHASE II: Criteria for Scoring of Technical Proposal**

Qualifying technical proposals will be collectively scored by a Proposal Review Committee (PRC) appointed by ODJFS, Office of Ohio Health Plans. For each of the evaluation criteria given in the following score sheet, reviewers will collectively judge whether the technical proposal exceeds, meets, partially meets or does not meet the requirements expressed in the RLB, and assign the appropriate point value, as follows:

0	6	8	10
Does Not Meet Requirement	Partially Meets Requirement	Meets Requirement	Exceeds Requirements

A technical proposal’s total PHASE II score will be the sum of the point value for all the evaluation criteria. The review team will collectively score each individual qualifying proposal. Technical proposals which do not meet or exceed a total score of at least **106** points (a score which represents that it “meets” all the evaluation criteria) out of a maximum of **150** points, will be disqualified from further consideration.

ITEM #	EVALUATION CRITERIA	RLB SEC. REF.	Weighting	Doesn’t Meet 0	Partially Meets 6	Meets 8	Exceeds 10
<b>REQ. VENDOR INFO. &amp; CERTIFICATIONS</b>							
1	The vendor has included, properly completed and signed, the Required Vendor Information & Certifications as specified in the RLB.	VIII	1				
<b>VENDOR QUALIFICATIONS</b>							
<b>ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERIENCE &amp; CAPABILITIES</b>							
2	The vendor has samples of two reports completed for similar projects during the past five years that demonstrate the staff/team expertise necessary to successfully accomplish this project	V, B. 1	1				
3	The vendor has names and contact information for at least two entities for which the vendor and/or the vendor’s subcontractor(s) has/have	V, B. 2	1				

	performed similar large scale projects in the past five years.						
<b>STAFF EXPERIENCE &amp; CAPABILITIES</b>							
4	The vendor staff have experience in designing, installing, supporting, and troubleshooting e-commerce, e-matching, and/or e-philanthropy web-based networks	V, C. 2	1				
5	The vendor staff have experience in web graphics, styles, layout, and navigation	V, C. 2	1				
6	The vendor staff have experience in planning and conducting user tests and documenting and responding to the results		1				
7	The vendor staff have experience in conducting research and staying current in the best practices and emerging technologies of web-based design and implementation		1				
8	The vendor staff have experience in collaborating with clients and all project stakeholders, including IT staff, project managers, and end users		1				
9	The vendor staff have experience in identifying needs and recommending timely solutions to satisfy technological and budgetary constraints		1				
10	The vendor proposal has documented a minimum of two years of relevant project experience for each key staff member.		1				
<b>SCOPE OF WORK &amp; SPECIFICATIONS OF DELIVERABLES</b>							
11	The vendor has provided a plan for determining how best to approach the Inventory project, including a list of all the necessary steps GOFBCI must take to execute the project;		1				
12	The vendor has provided a plan for determining how best to provide ongoing management of the project, including structural and staffing needs, necessary resources, and all other recommendations;		1				
13	The vendor has provided for determining what challenges GOFBCI might face as they relate to the project;		1				
14	The vendor has provided a plan for determining the possible advantages of the projects;		1				
15	The vendor has provided a plan for determining what to expect in terms of both the initial and ongoing costs associated with the project;		1				
<b>Column Subtotal of "Partially Meets" points</b>							
<b>Column Subtotal of "Meets" points</b>							
<b>Column Subtotal of "Exceeds" points</b>							
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE:</b>							

**ATTACHMENT D.**  
**RLB#: R-89-01-0973**  
**Technical Proposal Score Sheet**  
**The Study**

**PHASE I: Initial Qualifying Criteria**

The proposal must meet all of the following Phase I proposal acceptance criteria in order to be considered for further evaluation. Any proposal receiving a “no” response to any of the following qualifying criteria **shall be disqualified from consideration**.

ITEM	PROPOSAL ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	RLB Section Reference	YES	NO
1	Was the vendor’s proposal received by the deadline as specified in the RLB?	IV./V.		
2	Did the vendor submit a proposal comprised of a Technical Proposal?	IX.		
3	Vendor’s proposal includes all required affirmative statements and certifications, signed by the vendor’s responsible representative, as described in Attachment B to the RFP?	VIII. Attach. B.		
4	Included in those certifications, the vendor states that it is not excluded from entering into a contract with ODJFS, due to restrictions related to the federal debarment list, unfair labor findings, or R.C. § 9.24.	VIII. Attach. B.		
5	ODJFS’ review of the Auditor of State website verifies that the vendor is not excluded from contracting with ODJFS by R.C. § 9.24 for an unresolved finding for recovery.	VIII. Attach. B.		
6	The vendor has a minimum of two (2) years of experience in the field of information technology, e-commerce, e-philanthropy, e-marketing, or a related field.	V		
7	The vendor has two (2) years of experience in the study and analysis of public and private organizational structure and management.	V		

**PHASE II: Criteria for Scoring of Technical Proposal**

Qualifying technical proposals will be collectively scored by a Proposal Review Committee (PRC) appointed by ODJFS, Office of Ohio Health Plans. For each of the evaluation criteria given in the following score sheet, reviewers will collectively judge whether the technical proposal exceeds, meets, partially meets or does not meet the requirements expressed in the RLB, and assign the appropriate point value, as follows:

0	6	8	10
Does Not Meet Requirement	Partially Meets Requirement	Meets Requirement	Exceeds Requirements

A technical proposal’s total PHASE II score will be the sum of the point value for all the evaluation criteria. The review team will collectively score each individual qualifying proposal. Technical proposals which do not meet or exceed a total score of at least **98** points (a score which represents that it generally “meets” all the evaluation criteria) out of a maximum of **140** points, will be disqualified from further consideration.

ITEM #	EVALUATION CRITERIA	RLB SEC. REF.	Weighting	Doesn’t Meet 0	Partially Meets 6	Meets 8	Exceeds 10
<b>REQ. VENDOR INFO. &amp; CERTIFICATIONS</b>							
1	The vendor has included, properly completed and signed, the Required Vendor Information & Certifications as specified in the RLB.	VIII	1				
<b>VENDOR QUALIFICATIONS</b>							
<b>ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERIENCE &amp; CAPABILITIES</b>							
2	The vendor has samples of two reports completed for similar projects during the past five years that demonstrate the staff/team expertise necessary to	V, B. 1	1				

	successfully accomplish this project.						
3	The vendor has names and contact information for at least two entities for which the vendor and/or the vendor's subcontractor(s) has/have performed similar large scale projects in the past five years.	V, B. 2	1				
<b>STAFF EXPERIENCE &amp; CAPABILITIES</b>							
4	The vendor staff have provided samples to show excellent written and verbal communications skills	V, B.3	1				
5	The vendor staff have experience in identifying needs and recommending timely solutions to satisfy technological and budgetary constraints		1				
6	The vendor key staff have bachelor's degrees in public policy, public affairs, organizational structure, strategic planning, privatization, political science, organizational management, marketing, public relations, communications, planning, strategic planning, business, or business management or in a related area of study.	V, C. 2	1				
7	The vendor proposal documents a minimum of two years of relevant project experience for each key staff member.	V, C. 2	1				
<b>SCOPE OF WORK &amp; SPECIFICATIONS OF DELIVERABLES</b>							
8	The vendor has provided a plan on how they will research and collection data to include, but not limited to: Interviews with current and former staff members and Advisory Council members.	VI, 3	1				
9	The vendor has provided a plan to determine what challenges GOFBCI might face as they relate to the project;	VI, 3	1				
10	The vendor has provided a plan for identifying and analyzing all possible advantages of the projects;	VI, 3	1				
11	The vendor has provided a plan for determining advisable marketing and outreach efforts are advised, and for what costs might be associated;	VI, 3	1				
12	The vendor has provided a plan for determining organizational models encountered in the research and data collection phase.	VI, 3	1				
13	The vendor has provided a plan for conducting a literature review of the structures of faith-based/community initiative offices in other states.	VI, 3	1				
14	The vendor has provided a plan for determining factors found to be critical or unique to Ohio as they relate to the organization and operation of a faith-based/community initiatives office.	VI, 3	1				
<b>Column Subtotal of "Partially Meets" points</b>							
<b>Column Subtotal of "Meets" points</b>							
<b>Column Subtotal of "Exceeds" points</b>							
<b>GRAND TOTAL SCORE:</b>							