

ATTACHMENT E
RFGA#: R-1011-21-8029
Technical Proposal Score Sheet

PHASE I: Initial Qualifying Criteria

Applicant Name: _____

The proposal must meet all of the following Phase I proposal acceptance criteria in order to be considered for further evaluation. Any proposal receiving a “no” response to any of the following qualifying criteria **shall be disqualified from consideration**.

ITEM	PROPOSAL ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA	RFP Section Reference	YES	NO
1	Was the applicant’s proposal received by the deadline as specified in the RFGA?	4.1 & 6.2, A, 1		
2	The applicant states that it is not excluded from entering into an agreement with ODJFS, due to restrictions related to the federal debarment list, unfair labor findings, or R.C. § 9.24.	6.2 A, 2		
3	ODJFS’ review of the Auditor of State website verifies that the applicant is not excluded from contracting with ODJFS by R.C. § 9.24 for an unresolved finding for recovery.	6.2 A, 3		

PHASE II: Criteria for Scoring of Technical Proposal

Qualifying technical proposals will be collectively scored by a Proposal Review Team (PRT) appointed by ODJFS, Governor’s Office of Faith Based and Community Initiatives. For each of the evaluation criteria given in the following score sheet, reviewers will collectively judge whether the technical proposal exceeds, meets, partially meets or does not meet the requirements expressed in the RFGA, and assign the appropriate point value, as follows:

0	6	8	10
Does Not Meet Requirement	Partially Meets Requirement	Meets Requirement	Exceeds Requirements

A technical proposal’s total PHASE II score will be the sum of the point value for all the evaluation criteria. The review team will collectively score each individual qualifying proposal. Technical proposals which do not meet or exceed a total score of at least **150** points (a score which represents that it generally meets the programmatic evaluation criteria) out of a maximum of **200** points, will be disqualified from further consideration, and its project budget will neither be opened nor considered. Only those vendors whose Technical Proposals meet or exceed the minimum required technical points will advance to PHASE III of the technical proposal score sheet.

ITEM #	EVALUATION CRITERIA	RFGA SEC. REF.	Doesn’t Meet 0	Partially Meets 6	Meets 8	Exceeds 10
REQ. APPLICANT INFO. & CERTIFICATIONS						
1	The applicant has included, properly completed and signed, the Required Vendor Information & Certifications as specified in the RFGA.	4.1	NO		YES	
APPLICANT QUALIFICATIONS						
PREFERRED ORGANIZATIONAL EXPERIENCE & CAPABILITIES						
1	The applicant has provided proof that they are a lead agency, or the organization that is responsible for implementing all of the deliverables as specified; supervising, managing and monitoring agents, partnering organizations and subcontractors from the onset through the duration of this grant period.	3.1, A				
2	The applicant has provided proof that they are: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 501(c) (3) nonprofit organizations (<u>Applicants must include a copy of their 501(c) (3) certification from the IRS along with their grant proposals or their proposals will be appropriately penalized</u>); or • charitable foundations that are registered with the Ohio Attorney General under the 3.3 Charitable Trust Act (applicants are required to provide a photocopy of their 	3.1, B	NO		YES	

	<p>registration as a Charitable Trust or other substantive proof of the organization's operating status), or;</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> an Ohio universities or college, or; a County Department of Job & Family Services 						
3	The applicant has identified the specific region (Northwest, Northeast, North Central, Central, Southwest or Southeast) their organization will serve.	3.1, C & 2.1, B-5					
4	The applicant has demonstrated (in detailed description) at least two (2) years of experience working in community organizing along with letters of reference from at least two (2) separate organizations.	3.1, D					
5	The applicant has demonstrated (in detailed description) at least two (2) years of experience delivering capacity building training along with letters of reference from at least two (2) separate organizations.	3.1, E					
6	The applicant has provided a certified copy of the organization and partnering entities most recent financial statement and last A-122 audit or A-133 audits.	2.1, B-14					
ITEM #	EVALUATION CRITERIA	RFGA SEC. REF.		Doesn't Meet 0	Partially Meets 6	Meets 8	Exceeds 10
STAFF EXPERIENCE & CAPABILITIES							
7	The applicant has identified and assigned at least one Program Director who must be a paid employee, with at minimum, an Associate's Degree and at least two (2) years of experience working with public and private partnerships.	3.2 A.1					
8	The applicant has identified and assigned at least one Program Trainer or subcontractor(s) with, at minimum, an Associate's Degree and at least two (2) years of experience providing capacity building training.	3.2 A.2					
9	The applicant has identified all support staff roles including, but not necessarily limited to, finance officer (support staff do not need to be named at this time prior to grant award) and provide a justification for each position. Each should have at least two (2) years of experience in their respective fields.	3.2 A.3					
10	The applicant has identified all volunteer positions (volunteers do not need to be named at this point, just the positions and their functions) and explain the role that volunteers will play in implementing the program.	3.2, B					
PROJECT DESCRIPTION							
11	*The applicant has provided the legal name and address of the organization applying for this grant, along with names of any collaborative partnering organizations &/or individual(s). (* See note below.)	2.1, B-2		NO		YES	
12	*The applicant, if partnering with other organizations, has explained in detail how and why they selected to collaborate with these organizations &/or subcontractor(s). (* See note below.)	2.1, B-3					
13	*The applicant has explained what specific contributions the partnering organization &/or subcontractor(s) will provide the existing organization. (* See note below.)	2.1, B-3a					
14	*The applicant has explained why this collaboration is necessary to meet the deliverables specified in this grant. (* See note below.)	2.1, B-3 b					
15	The applicant has provided an organizational chart for their organization.	2.1, B-4					
16	The applicant has in an attachment, explained why the organization should be awarded this grant and how it will meet its proposed TANF Goal.	2.1, B-6					
17	The applicant has described how your organization will meet all the deliverables specified within this RFGA.	2.1, B-7					
18	The applicant has described the methodology their organization will utilize to measure the outcomes of the implementation of this grant.	2.1, B-8					
19	The applicant has explained how the evaluation data used will be collected and maintained.	2.1, B-9					
20	The applicant has explained how the organization and partnering entities will implement the hosting of quarterly capacity building trainings.	2.1, B-10					
21	The applicant has explained how the organization and partnering entities will implement offering five (5) hours of one-on-one technical assistance.	2.1, B-11					
22	The applicant has explained how the organization and partnering entities will implement & develop a regional Call to Action outreach plan.	2.1, B-12					
Column Subtotal of "Partially Meets" points							
Column Subtotal of "Meets" points							
Column Subtotal of "Exceeds" points							

GRAND TOTAL SCORE:

--

*** Note: Partnering is not a requirement. If an application is submitted by a single organization, with no collaborative partnership, then for that proposal Phase II score items 11, 12, 13, and 14 will not be applied, and the minimum technical points required will be adjusted to reflect this.**

Based upon the Grand Total Technical Score earned, does the applicant's proposal proceed to the Phase III evaluation of its Project Budget? (Vendor's Grand Total Technical Score must be at least 150 points.)

Yes _____

No _____

(If "No," applicant's Project Budget will not be considered.)