

### CHILD AND FAMILY SERVICES REVIEW ROUND 3

#### CFSR DATA INDICATORS AND ITEM MEASURES

**States must meet both the National Standard (if applicable) and 95% compliance on the relevant Case Review items in order to have achieved the Safety, Permanency or Well-Being Outcome being measured.**

#### Safety Outcome 1: Children are, first and foremost, protected from abuse and neglect.

Data Indicator		Definition	National Standard	Ohio Performance
S1	<b>Maltreatment in Foster Care</b>	Of all children in foster care during a 12-month period, what is the rate of victimization per 100,000 days of foster care?	<b>8.50 victimizations per 100,000 days</b>	<b>FFY 2013</b> <b>Risk Adjusted</b> <b>16.56</b> victimizations per 100,000 days
S2	<b>Recurrence of Maltreatment</b>	Of all children who were victims of a substantiated or indicated report of maltreatment during a 12-month reporting period, what percent were victims of another substantiated or indicated maltreatment allegation within 12 months of their initial report?	<b>9.1% or below</b>	<b>FFY 2012</b> <b>Risk Adjusted</b> <b>13.2%</b>
<b>Case Review</b>		<b>Evaluation Criteria</b>		<b>Compliance Level</b>
<b>Item 1</b>		Did the caseworker initiate investigations of reports of child maltreatment timely?		<b>95%</b>

#### Safety Outcome 2: Children are safely maintained in their homes whenever possible and appropriate.

Case Review		Evaluation Criteria	Compliance Level
<b>Item 2</b>		Did the caseworker provide services to the family to protect children in the home and prevent removal or re-entry into foster care?	<b>95%</b>
<b>Item 3</b>		Did the caseworker complete Risk Assessments, Safety Assessments, and Safety Plans throughout the life of the case?	<b>95%</b>

#### Permanency Outcome 1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.

Data Indicator		Definition	National Standard	Ohio Performance
P1	<b>Permanency in 12 Months for Children Entering Foster Care</b>	Of all children who enter foster care in a 12-month period, what percent discharged to permanency within 12 months of entering foster care?	<b>40.5% or more</b>	<b>4/1/2011-3/31/12</b> <b>Risk Adjusted</b> <b>46.8%</b>
P2	<b>Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Foster Care 12 to 23 Months</b>	Of all children in foster care on the first day of a 12-month period who had been in foster care (in that episode) between 12 and 23 months, what percent discharged from foster care to permanency within 12 months of the first day of the 12-month period?	<b>43.6% or more</b>	<b>4/1/2013-3/31/14</b> <b>Risk Adjusted</b> <b>44.2%</b>
P3	<b>Permanency in 12 Months for Children in Foster Care 24 Months +</b>	Of all children in foster care on the first day of a 12-month period, who had been in foster care (in that episode) for 24 months or more, what percent discharged to permanency within 12 months of the first day of the 12-month period?	<b>30.3% or more</b>	<b>4/1/2013-3/31/14</b> <b>Risk Adjusted</b> <b>27.0%</b>

**Permanency Outcome 1: Children have permanency and stability in their living situations.**

Data Indicator		Definition	National Standard	Ohio Performance
P4	Re-entry to Foster Care in 12 Months	Of all children who enter foster care in a 12-month period who discharged within 12 months to reunification, living with a relative(s), or guardianship, what percent re-enter foster care within 12 months of their discharge?	8.3% or less	4/1/2011-3/31/12  Risk Adjusted 11.5%
P5	Placement Stability	Of all children who enter foster care in a 12-month period, what is the rate of placement moves per 1,000 day of foster care?	4.12 moves per 1,000 days in care or less	4/1/2013-3/31/14  Risk Adjusted 3.43 moves per 1,000 days in care
Case Review		Evaluation Criteria	Compliance Level	
Item 4		Was the child's substitute care placement stable?	95%	
Item 5		Did the caseworker work with the family to establish an appropriate Permanency Goal for the child in a timely manner?	95%	
Item 6		Did the caseworker work with the family to achieve Reunification, Guardianship, Adoption, or other Planned Permanent Living Arrangement for the child?	95%	

**Permanency Outcome 2: The continuity of family relationships and connections is preserved for children.**

Case Review		Evaluation Criteria	Compliance Level
Item 7		Did the caseworker place siblings together?	95%
Item 8		Did the caseworker facilitate frequent visits with parents and siblings in foster care?	95%
Item 9		Was the caseworker instrumental in promoting, supporting and maintaining the child's connections to his/her neighborhood, community, faith, extended family, Tribe, school and friends?	95%
Item 10		Did the caseworker place the child with relatives?	95%
Item 11		Other than visits, did the caseworker promote, support and maintain positive relationships between the child and parents?	95%

**Well-Being Outcome 1: Families have enhanced capacity to provide for their children's needs.**

Case Review		Evaluation Criteria	Compliance Level
Item 12		Did the caseworker identify and provide services to the child, parents, and foster parents?	95%
Item 13		Did the caseworker involve the parents and child in case planning?	95%
Item 14		Did the caseworker visit the child?	95%
Item 15		Did the caseworker visit the parents?	95%

**Well-Being Outcome 2: Children receive appropriate services to meet their educational needs.**

Case Review		Evaluation Criteria	Compliance Level
Item 16		Did the caseworker meet the educational needs of the child?	95%

**Well-Being Outcome 3: Children receive adequate services to meet their physical and mental health needs.**

Case Review		Evaluation Criteria	Compliance Level
Item 17		Did the caseworker meet the physical/dental needs of the child?	95%
Item 18		Did the caseworker meet the mental/behavioral health needs of the child?	95%