

APPENDIX D

FINDINGS FROM SURVEY OF NATIONAL EXPERTS ON FAMILY SERVICE NEEDS

FINDINGS FROM SURVEY OF NATIONAL EXPERTS ON FAMILY SERVICE NEEDS

- Casework Experts
- Disciplinary Experts

Steven R. Howe and Associates, LLC

Overview

Children's services workers face the formidable twin challenges of (a) deciding how to intervene in a family to protect the safety and well-being of the children at risk, while also (b) deciding how to respond to the problems in the family that might be contributing to that risk. Such workers are increasingly expected to be aware of and to employ best practices that are also evidence-based. This project was designed to identify those specific evidence-based practices that experts in children services would recommend be used in cases representative of the children's services cases recently active in Ohio. These results were needed by the Ohio Department of Job and Family Services (ODJFS) for a comprehensive resource-gap analysis that the department was completing. The ODJFS goal was to estimate how much unmet need for what types of services there was in Ohio, and how much it might cost to provide such services under the assumption that the goal should be to use evidence-based practices whenever possible.

The survey of national experts was designed to determine which evidence-based interventions were most appropriate for each concern that might have been noted by a caseworker during the service planning stage of case management. Children's services caseworkers work from a list of 18 different concerns (e.g., domestic violence, parenting difficulties). A given case might have no concerns (indicating that it might be an appropriate time to close it) or several. ODJFS selected a case from each of these profiles and prepared a vignette in which the case information needed for decision making was summarized and anonymized. Experts were asked to read each case vignette and answer questions about each identified concern that a caseworker had identified about the family. Specifically, casework experts were asked such things as whether a concern needed to be addressed, what interventions would be most appropriate for the family, the likelihood that the family would cooperate in obtaining service, and the likelihood that the service would be of benefit to the family. The evidence-based interventions studied were a subset of those identified in an earlier project commissioned by ODJFS to create a database of interventions that might be appropriate to children's services.

Two distinct samples of experts were recruited to help evaluate these case vignettes in the autumn of 2015. Forty casework experts were recruited from across the country. These experts were especially important because of their ability to judge whether families would cooperate with service recommendations and benefit from them. In addition, 35 disciplinary experts were recruited. While some of these experts did have experience in children's services, they all had disciplinary expertise in counseling, medicine, developmental disorders, substance abuse treatment, etc. There were some important differences between the exact questions asked of the two kinds of experts. Since children's services workers are service planners as opposed to service providers, the casework experts were often not asked to choose specific interventions for concerns such as substance abuse, where their role is typically to refer the family member for assessment. Since disciplinary experts usually did not have children's services experience, they were not asked to make judgments about the likelihood that a family would cooperate in seeking assistance.

The product of this research is presented in this report. Each table shows the recommended interventions most often made for each of the 18 concerns, the probability that a concern would need to be addressed, the probability that a family would cooperate, and the probability that a family would benefit.

Results for Casework Experts
 Domestic Violence
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 147

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	24	16.3
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	39	26.5
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	12	8.2
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	23	15.6
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	49	33.3

		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
Interventions	Community Advocacy Project	12	16.7
	Family Thriving Program	14	19.4
	Prevention and Relationship Enhancement Program	19	26.4
	Prolonged Exposure Therapy for PTSD for Adults	8	11.1
	Seeking Safety for Adults	24	33.3
	Healthy Families America	16	22.2
	Narrative Exposure Therapy	2	2.8

		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	5	7.4
	Somewhat Likely	39	57.4
	Somewhat Unlikely	20	29.4
	Very Unlikely	4	5.9

		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	22	34.4
	Somewhat Likely	34	53.1
	Somewhat Unlikely	8	12.5
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Disciplinary Experts
 Domestic Violence
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 111

Rating		Number	%
	Do Not Have Expertise	34	30.6
	Not Enough is Known	19	17.1
	Recommendation Can be Made	58	52.3

Interventions		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
	Community Advocacy Project	9	15.5
	Family Thriving Program	11	19.0
	Prevention and Relationship Enhancement Program	29	50.0
	Prolonged Exposure Therapy for PTSD for Adults	11	19.0
	Seeking Safety for Adults	38	65.5
	Healthy Families America	16	27.6
	Narrative Exposure Therapy	5	8.6

Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	5	9.4
	Somewhat Likely	41	77.4
	Somewhat Unlikely	6	11.3
	Very Unlikely	1	1.9

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Emotional Behavioral (Child)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 167

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	18	10.8
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	37	22.2
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	22	13.2
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	17	10.2
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	73	43.7
		Number	%
A Referral for Assessment is	Agree Strongly	65	72.2
	Agree	22	24.4
	Disagree	2	2.2
	Disagree Strongly	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	35	39.8
	Somewhat Likely	42	47.7
	Somewhat Unlikely	10	11.4
	Very Unlikely	1	1.1
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	46	52.3
	Somewhat Likely	40	45.5
	Somewhat Unlikely	2	2.3
	Very Unlikely	0	0

Results for Disciplinary Experts
 Emotional Behavioral (Child)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 111

Rating		Number	%
	Do Not Have Expertise	1	0.9
	Not Enough is Known	8	7.2
	Recommendation Can be Made	102	91.9

Interventions		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
	EMDR	12	11.8
	Acceptance and Commitment Therapy	4	3.9
	Big Brothers Big Sisters Mentoring Program	43	42.2
	Cognitive Behavioral Therapy	59	57.8
	Dialectical Behavioral Therapy	13	12.7
	Incredible Years	18	17.6
	Multisystemic Therapy	25	24.5
	Interpersonal Psychotherapy	28	27.5
	Child-Parent Psychotherapy	46	45.1
	Family Connections	17	16.7
	Attachment-Based Family Therapy	19	18.6
	Adolescent-Focused Family Behavior Therapy	14	13.7
	Problem Solving Skills Training	38	37.3
	Primary and Secondary Control Enhancement Therapy	2	2.0
	Positive Family Support	34	33.3
	Incredible Years	8	7.8
	Family Functional Therapy	15	14.7
	Coping Power Program	15	14.7
	1-2-3 Magic: Effective Discipline for Children	10	9.8

Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	37	38.5
	Somewhat Likely	56	58.3
	Somewhat Unlikely	3	3.1
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Emotional Illness (Adult)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 154

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	19	12.3
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	30	19.5
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	22	14.3
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	10	6.5
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	73	47.4
		Number	%
A Referral for Assessment is Agree Strongly	Agree Strongly	61	73.5
	Agree	19	22.9
	Disagree	1	1.2
	Disagree Strongly	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	17	21
	Somewhat Likely	41	50.6
	Somewhat Unlikely	20	24.7
	Very Unlikely	3	3.7
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	39	48.8
	Somewhat Likely	38	47.5
	Somewhat Unlikely	2	2.5
	Very Unlikely	1	1.3

Results for Disciplinary Experts
 Emotional Illness (Adult)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 119

Rating		Number	%
	Do Not Have Expertise	19	16.0
	Not Enough is Known	16	13.4
	Recommendation Can be Made	84	70.6

Interventions		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
	EMDR	19	22.6
	Acceptance and Commitment Therapy	24	28.6
	Cognitive Behavioral Therapy	59	70.2
	Dialectical Behavioral Therapy	28	33.3
	Interpersonal Psychotherapy	29	34.5
	Adult-Focused Family Behavior Therapy	27	32.1
	Dyanamic Deconstructive Psychotherapy	1	1.2
	Mindfulness-Based Cognitive Therapy	41	48.8
	Intensive Short-Term Dynamic Psychotherapy	8	9.5

Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	20	25.3
	Somewhat Likely	50	63.3
	Somewhat Unlikely	8	10.1
	Very Unlikely	1	1.3

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Parenting Difficulties
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 205

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	14	6.8
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	22	10.7
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	20	9.8
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	22	10.7
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	127	62.0

		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
Interventions	Triple-P Parenting Program	32	21.5
	Nurse-Family Partnership	15	10.1
	Parent-Child Interaction Therapy	51	34.2
	Incredible Years	19	12.8
	Functional Family Therapy	42	28.2
	Intensive In-Home Family Treatment Program	72	48.3
	1-2-3 Magic: Effective Discipline for Children	13	8.7
Child-Parent Psychotherapy	28	18.8	

		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	22	15.5
	Somewhat Likely	82	57.7
	Somewhat Unlikely	34	23.9
	Very Unlikely	4	2.8

		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	67	47.2
	Somewhat Likely	65	45.8
	Somewhat Unlikely	9	6.3
	Very Unlikely	1	0.7

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Disciplinary Experts
 Parenting Difficulties
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 136

Rating		Number	%
	Do Not Have Expertise	8	5.9
	Not Enough is Known	11	8.1
	Recommendation Can be Made	117	86.0

Interventions		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
	Triple-P Parenting Program	40	34.2
	Attachment and Biobehavioral Catch-Up	8	6.8
	Circle of Security	35	29.9
	Period of Purple Crying	19	16.2
	Safe Environment for Every Kid (SEEK)	56	47.9
	SafeCare	43	36.8
	Strengthening Families Program	44	37.6
	Nurse-Family Partnership	28	23.9
	Parent-Child Interaction Therapy	39	33.3
	Incredible Years	17	14.5
	Functional Family Therapy	21	17.9
	Intensive In-Home Family Treatment Program	34	29.1
	1-2-3 Magic: Effective Discipline for Children	19	16.2
	Attachment-Based Family Therapy	41	35.0

Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	33	29.7
	Somewhat Likely	69	62.2
	Somewhat Unlikely	7	6.3
	Very Unlikely	2	1.8

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Physical, Cognitive, Social (Child)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 168

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	36	21.4
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	30	17.9
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	25	14.9
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	25	14.9
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	52	31.0
		Number	%
A Referral for Assessment is	Agree Strongly	49	63.6
	Agree	20	26.0
	Disagree	6	7.8
	Disagree Strongly	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	18	24.7
	Somewhat Likely	44	60.3
	Somewhat Unlikely	10	13.7
	Very Unlikely	1	1.4
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	32	43.8
	Somewhat Likely	38	52.1
	Somewhat Unlikely	3	4.1
	Very Unlikely	0	0

Results for Disciplinary Experts
 Physical, Cognitive, Social (Child)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 100

Rating		Number	%
	Do Not Have Expertise	10	10.0
	Not Enough is Known	12	12.0
	Recommendation Can be Made	78	78.0

Interventions		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
	Family Connection	21	26.9
	Child-Parent Centers	10	12.8
	Big Brothers Big Sisters Mentoring Program	35	44.9
	Coping Power Program	24	30.8
	Life Skills Training	27	34.6
	Multi-Family Psychoeducation Psychotherapy	24	30.8
	Multisystemic Therapy	27	34.6
	Positive Peer Culture	23	29.5
	Problem Solving Skills Training	34	43.6
	Say It Straight	4	5.1
	Help Me Grow	4	5.1

Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	18	24.7
	Somewhat Likely	53	72.6
	Somewhat Unlikely	2	2.7
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Physical Illness (Adult)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 55

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	24	43.6
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	10	18.2
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	6	10.9
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	4	7.3
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	11	20.0
		Number	%
A Referral for Assessment is	Agree Strongly	8	53.3
	Agree	7	46.7
	Disagree	0	0.0
	Disagree Strongly	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	6	40
	Somewhat Likely	9	60
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	7	46.7
	Somewhat Likely	8	53.3
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

Results for Disciplinary Experts

Physical Illness (Adult)

Number of Expert Evaluations: 42

		Number	%
Rating	Do Not Have Expertise	25	59.5
	Not Enough is Known	4	9.5
	Recommendation Can be Made	13	31.0
Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	5	55.6
	Somewhat Likely	3	33.3
	Somewhat Unlikely	1	11.1
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

Results for Casework Experts
 Cognitive Difficulties (Adult)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 30

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	8	26.7
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	7	23.3
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	3	10.0
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	4	13.3
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	8	26.7
		Number	%
A Referral for Assessment is	Agree Strongly	5	41.7
	Agree	7	58.3
	Disagree	0	0.0
	Disagree Strongly	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	0	0.0
	Somewhat Likely	8	66.7
	Somewhat Unlikely	4	33.3
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	3	25.0
	Somewhat Likely	6	50.0
	Somewhat Unlikely	3	25.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

Results for Disciplinary Experts
Cognitive Difficulties (Adult)
Number of Expert Evaluations: 21

		Number	%
Rating	Do Not Have Expertise	9	42.9
	Not Enough is Known	4	19.0
	Recommendation Can be Made	8	38.1
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	1	16.7
	Somewhat Likely	3	50.0
	Somewhat Unlikely	2	33.3
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

Results for Casework Experts
 Substance Abuse (Child)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 17

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	17	100.0
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	0	0.0
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	0	0.0
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	0	0.0
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	0	0.0
		Number	%
A Referral for Assessment is	Agree Strongly	0	.
	Agree	0	.
	Disagree	0	.
	Disagree Strongly	0	.
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	0	.
	Somewhat Likely	0	.
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	.
	Very Unlikely	0	.
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	0	.
	Somewhat Likely	0	.
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	.
	Very Unlikely	0	.

Results for Disciplinary Experts
 Substance Abuse (Child)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 11

Rating		Number	%
	Do Not Have Expertise	4	36.4
	Not Enough is Known	2	18.2
	Recommendation Can be Made	5	45.5

Interventions		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
	Adolescent Community Reinforcement Approach	1	20.0
	Brief Strategic Family Therapy	1	20.0
	Family Support Network	2	40.0
	Motivational Interviewing	2	40.0
	Multidimensional Family Therapy	1	20.0
	Positive Family Support	1	20.0
	Residential Treatment	1	20.0

Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	1	25.0
	Somewhat Likely	1	25.0
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	2	50.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Substance Abuse (Adult)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 189

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	24	12.7
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	38	20.1
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	7	3.7
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	9	4.8
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	111	58.7
		Number	%
A Referral for Assessment is	Agree Strongly	90	75.0
	Agree	24	20.0
	Disagree	0	0.0
	Disagree Strongly	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	12	10.6
	Somewhat Likely	56	49.6
	Somewhat Unlikely	36	31.9
	Very Unlikely	9	8
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	49	44.1
	Somewhat Likely	49	44.1
	Somewhat Unlikely	9	8.1
	Very Unlikely	4	3.6

Results for Disciplinary Experts
 Substance Abuse (Adult)
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 136

Rating		Number	%
	Do Not Have Expertise	57	41.9
	Not Enough is Known	8	5.9
	Recommendation Can be Made	71	52.2

Interventions		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
	Healthy Families America	9	12.7
	Alcohol Behavioral Couples Therapy	4	5.6
	Brief Strengths-Based Case Management	23	32.4
	CHOICES: A program for women about choosing health	38	53.5
	Family Drug Court	22	31.0
	Interim Methadone Maintenance	12	16.9
	Motivational Interviewing	49	69.0
	Network Support Treatment for Alcohol Dependence	10	14.1
	Positive Family Support	27	38.0
	Safe Environment for Every Kid (SEEK)	19	26.8
	Twelve Step Facilitation Therapy	40	56.3

Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	12	17.6
	Somewhat Likely	42	61.8
	Somewhat Unlikely	13	19.1
	Very Unlikely	1	1.5

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts

Sexual Abuse

Number of Expert Evaluations: 64

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	9	14.1
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	5	7.8
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	3	4.7
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	5	7.8
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	42	65.6

		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
Interventions	Trauma-Focused CBT	29	61.7
	Multisystemic Therapy for Child Abuse and Neglect Children with Problematic Sexual Behavior CBT	11	23.4
	Trauma Systems Therapy	13	27.7
	Trauma Affect Regulation	9	19.1
	Prolonged Exposure Therapy for Adolescents	6	12.8
	Cognitive Processing Therapy	6	12.8
		8	17.0

		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	16	34.8
	Somewhat Likely	23	50
	Somewhat Unlikely	4	8.7
	Very Unlikely	3	6.5

		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	33	71.7
	Somewhat Likely	13	28.3
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Disciplinary Experts

Sexual Abuse

Number of Expert Evaluations: 33

Rating		Number	%
	Do Not Have Expertise	4	12.1
	Not Enough is Known	2	6.1
	Recommendation Can be Made	27	81.8

Interventions		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
	Trauma-Focused CBT	23	85.2
	Multisystemic Therapy for Child Abuse and Neglect	12	44.4
	Children with Problematic Sexual Behavior CBT	3	11.1
	Trauma Systems Therapy	14	51.9
	Trauma Affect Regulation	3	11.1
	Prolonged Exposure Therapy for Adolescents	1	3.7
	Cognitive Processing Therapy	10	37.0
	Narrative Exposure Therapy	5	18.5
	Cognitive Behavioral Intervention or Treatment in Child and Family Traumatic Stresss Intervention	11	40.7

Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	10	37.0
	Somewhat Likely	15	55.6
	Somewhat Unlikely	2	7.4
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Abuse, Dependency, Neglect
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 95

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	4	4.2
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	14	14.7
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	13	13.7
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	2	2.1
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	62	65.3
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	13	21.3
	Somewhat Likely	31	50.8
	Somewhat Unlikely	13	21.3
	Very Unlikely	4	6.6
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	32	52.5
	Somewhat Likely	25	41
	Somewhat Unlikely	4	6.6
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

Results for Disciplinary Experts
 Abuse, Dependency, Neglect
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 68

		Number	%
Rating	Do Not Have Expertise	7	10.3
	Not Enough is Known	11	16.2
	Recommendation Can be Made	50	73.5
		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
Interventions	Narrative Exposure Therapy	8	16.0
	Child and Family Traumatic Stress Intervention	20	40.0
	Cognitive Behavioral Therapy	20	40.0
	Cognitive Process Therapy	7	14.0
	Multisystemic Therapy	14	28.0
	Prolonged Exposure Therapy for Adolescents	2	4.0
	Trauma Systems Therapy	13	26.0
	Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy	24	48.0
	Healthy Families America	12	24.0
	Attachment and Biobehavioral Catch-Up	4	8.0
	Child-Parent Psychotherapy	23	46.0
	EMDR	4	8.0
	Family Connections	14	28.0
SafeCare	7	14.0	
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	16	33.3
	Somewhat Likely	30	62.5
	Somewhat Unlikely	2	4.2
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Financial Distress
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 91

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	4	4.4
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	16	17.6
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	10	11.0
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	16	17.6
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	45	49.5

		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
Interventions	Workforce Investment Board	34	55.7
	SNAP Program	46	75.4
	Family Self-Sufficiency Program	51	83.6
	Child Support Program	30	49.2
	Family Connections	29	47.5
	Adult-Focused Family Behavior Therapy	17	27.9

		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	16	27.1
	Somewhat Likely	32	54.2
	Somewhat Unlikely	8	13.6
	Very Unlikely	3	5.1

		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	38	64.4
	Somewhat Likely	15	25.4
	Somewhat Unlikely	4	6.8
	Very Unlikely	2	3.4

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Disciplinary Experts
 Financial Distress
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 58

		Number	%
Rating	Do Not Have Expertise	18	31.0
	Not Enough is Known	4	6.9
	Recommendation Can be Made	36	62.1
			% Experts Recommending this
Interventions	Workforce Investment Board	19	52.8
	SNAP Program	21	58.3
	Family Self-Sufficiency Program	31	86.1
	Child Support Program	23	63.9
	Family Connections	19	52.8
	Adult-Focused Family Behavior Therapy	9	25.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	15	42.9
	Somewhat Likely	19	54.3
	Somewhat Unlikely	1	2.9
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts

Homelessness

Number of Expert Evaluations: 25

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	3	12.0
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	4	16.0
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	1	4.0
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	4	16.0
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	13	52.0

		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
Interventions	Family Self-Sufficiency Program	11	64.7
	Housing First Model	11	64.7
	Independent Living Program	3	17.6
	Permanent Supportive Housing	12	70.6
	Transitional Housing	11	64.7

		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	12	70.6
	Somewhat Likely	5	29.4
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	15	88.2
	Somewhat Likely	2	11.8
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Disciplinary Experts

Homelessness

Number of Expert Evaluations: 13

		Number	%
Rating	Do Not Have Expertise	5	38.5
	Not Enough is Known	0	0.0
	Recommendation Can be Made	8	61.5
		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
Interventions	Family Self-Sufficiency Program	7	87.5
	Housing First Model	4	50.0
	Independent Living Program	3	37.5
	Permanent Supportive Housing	6	75.0
	Transitional Housing	6	75.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	2	25.0
	Somewhat Likely	6	75.0
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Self Protection
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 209

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	24	11.5
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	33	15.8
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	31	14.8
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	18	8.6
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	103	49.3
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	21	18.1
	Somewhat Likely	68	58.6
	Somewhat Unlikely	22	19
	Very Unlikely	5	4.3
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	62	53.9
	Somewhat Likely	47	40.9
	Somewhat Unlikely	4	3.5
	Very Unlikely	2	1.7

Results for Disciplinary Experts

Self Protection

Number of Expert Evaluations: 145

Rating		Number	%
	Do Not Have Expertise	11	7.6
	Not Enough is Known	18	12.4
	Recommendation Can be Made	116	80.0

Interventions		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
	Cognitive Behavioral Intervention for Treatment in Trauma Affect Regulation	38	32.8
	Trauma Systems Therapy	14	12.1
	Trauma-Focused Cognitive Behavioral Therapy	31	26.7
	Child-Parent Psychotherapy	61	52.6
	Family Connections	61	52.6
	CAST (Coping and Support Training)	36	31.0
	Peacebuilders	37	31.9
		7	6.0

Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	33	30.3
	Somewhat Likely	69	63.3
	Somewhat Unlikely	7	6.4
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Seeing, Hearing, Speech
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 8

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	0	0.0
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	1	12.5
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	0	0.0
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	1	12.5
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	6	75.0
		Number	%
A Referral for Assessment is Agree Strongly	Agree Strongly	4	57.1
	Agree	3	42.9
	Disagree	0	0.0
	Disagree Strongly	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	0	0.0
	Somewhat Likely	6	85.7
	Somewhat Unlikely	1	14.3
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	6	85.7
	Somewhat Likely	1	14.3
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

Results for Disciplinary Experts

Seeing, Hearing, Speech

Number of Expert Evaluations: 7

		Number	%
Rating	Do Not Have Expertise	6	85.7
	Not Enough is Known	0	0.0
	Recommendation Can be Made	1	14.3
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	1	100.0
	Somewhat Likely	0	0.0
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

Results for Casework Experts

Stress

Number of Expert Evaluations: 82

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	7	8.5
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	16	19.5
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	22	26.8
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	11	13.4
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	26	31.7
		Number	%
A Referral for Assessment is	Agree Strongly	24	64.9
	Agree	8	21.6
	Disagree	1	2.7
	Disagree Strongly	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	5	14.3
	Somewhat Likely	20	57.1
	Somewhat Unlikely	10	28.6
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	13	38.2
	Somewhat Likely	17	50
	Somewhat Unlikely	4	11.8
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

Results for Disciplinary Experts

Stress

Number of Expert Evaluations: 46

		Number	%
Rating	Do Not Have Expertise	1	2.2
	Not Enough is Known	6	13.0
	Recommendation Can be Made	39	84.8
		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
Interventions	Cognitive Behavioral Therapy	33	84.6
	Dialectical Behavioral Therapy	14	35.9
	Brief Strategic Family Therapy	16	41.0
	1-2-3 Magic: Effective Discipline for Children	8	20.5
	Functional Family Therapy	19	48.7
	Psychological First Aid	5	12.8
	Say It Straight	2	5.1
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	8	22.2
	Somewhat Likely	24	66.7
	Somewhat Unlikely	4	11.1
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Aging Out of Foster Care
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 17

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	1	5.9
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	1	5.9
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	1	5.9
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	0	0.0
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	14	82.4

		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
Interventions	Independent Living Program	10	71.4
	Big Brother Big Sister Mentoring Program	5	35.7
	College Access	5	35.7
	Homebuilders	1	7.1
	Opportunity Passport Program	1	7.1
	Pathways to College	5	35.7
	Transitional Housing Program for Emancipating Fost	10	71.4
	Treatment Foster Care	1	7.1

		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	8	66.7
	Somewhat Likely	4	33.3
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	9	69.2
	Somewhat Likely	3	23.1
	Somewhat Unlikely	1	7.7
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Disciplinary Experts
 Aging Out of Foster Care
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 4

Rating		Number	%
	Do Not Have Expertise	0	0.0
	Not Enough is Known	0	0.0
	Recommendation Can be Made	4	100.0

Interventions		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
	Independent Living Program	4	100.0
	Big Brother Big Sister Mentoring Program	2	50.0
	Homebuilders	1	25.0
	Transitional Housing Program for Emancipating Fost	2	50.0
	Treatment Foster Care	4	100.0

Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	1	25.0
	Somewhat Likely	3	75.0
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.

Results for Casework Experts
 Teen Pregnancy
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 16

		Number	%
Decision	No Response Needed	1	6.3
	Cannot Determine Now, Keep Case Open	1	6.3
	Other Responses Would Address This Concern	1	6.3
	Response Needed - Secondary Importance	0	0.0
	Response Needed - Primary Importance	13	81.3
		Number	%
A Referral for Assessment is Agree Strongly	Agree Strongly	9	69.2
	Agree	3	23.1
	Disagree	0	0.0
	Disagree Strongly	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Cooperation	Very Likely	6	50
	Somewhat Likely	4	33.3
	Somewhat Unlikely	2	16.7
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0
		Number	%
Likelihood of Benefit	Very Likely	9	75
	Somewhat Likely	3	25
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0
	Very Unlikely	0	0

Results for Disciplinary Experts
 Teen Pregnancy
 Number of Expert Evaluations: 9

Rating		Number	%
	Do Not Have Expertise	0	0.0
	Not Enough is Known	1	11.1
	Recommendation Can be Made	8	88.9

Interventions		Number	% Experts Recommending this Intervention*
	Nurse-Family Partnership	6	75.0
	Parent Child Interaction Therapy	2	25.0
	Incredible Years	2	25.0
	Functional Family Therapy	3	37.5
	Intensive In-Home Family Treatment Program	7	87.5
	1-2-3 Magic: Effective Discipline for Children	2	25.0
	Child-Parent Psychotherapy	1	12.5
	Attachment and Biobehavioral Catch-Up	1	12.5
	Circle of Security	1	12.5
	SafeCare	3	37.5
	Strengthening Families Program	3	37.5
	Attachment-Based Family Therapy	2	25.0

Likelihood of Benefit		Number	%
	Very Likely	3	37.5
	Somewhat Likely	5	62.5
	Somewhat Unlikely	0	0.0
	Very Unlikely	0	0.0

* Values sum to more than 100% because experts could choose more than one intervention.