

Criteria for Scoring of Technical Proposal

Applications will be collectively scored by a peer review team made up of regional representatives from local FCFC and LABs, OCTF staff and community stakeholders. For each of the evaluation criteria given in the following score sheet, reviewers will collectively judge whether the application exceeds, meets, partially meets or does not meet the requirements outlined in the application and assign the appropriate point value, as follows:

0	1	3	5
Does Not Meet Requirement	Partially Meets Requirement	Meets Requirement	Exceeds Requirement

Technical Performance Scoring Definitions:

“Does Not Meet Requirement”- A particular application requirement was not addressed in the applicant’s proposal, **Score: 0**

“Partially Meets Requirement”- Proposal demonstrates an attempt at meeting a particular application requirement, but that attempt falls below acceptable level, **Score: 1**

“Meets Requirement”- Proposal fulfills a specific application requirement in all material respects, potentially with only minor, non-substantial deviation, **Score: 3**

“Exceeds Requirement”- Proposal fulfills a particular application requirement in all material respects, and offers some additional level of quality in excess of expectations, **Score: 5**

A technical proposal’s total score will be the sum of the point value for all the evaluation criteria. The review team will collectively score each individual qualifying proposal. Proposals that do not meet or exceed a total score of at least **102 points** (a score which represents that the selected applicant has the capability to successfully perform the project/program services) out of a maximum of **190 points**, will be automatically disqualified from further consideration, and will not be reviewed by the Ohio Children's Trust Fund (OCTF) Board. Proposals that meet a score of **102-114 points** will advance to the full OCTF Board for review, but will require technical assistance from OCTF staff following approval. Proposals that exceed a score of **114 points** will advance to the full OCTF Board for review and will not require technical assistance from OCTF staff.

ITEM #	EVALUATION CRITERIA	Reference	Weight	Doesn't Meet 0	Partially Meets 1	Meets 3	Exceeds 5
Application Timeliness							
1	The application was in receipt of the OCTF office on or before 5:00 PM, April 1, 2011.	Pages 7 & 14	1				
Any application receiving a "does not meet" for this item will not be further scored.							
Required Applicant Information							
2	The applicant has included a complete Applicant Information Form	Attachment A	0.5				
3	The applicant has included a completed and signed Statement of Assurance that is on their FCFC’s letterhead	Attachment C	0.5				
4	Application includes completed and signed Grant Application Checklist	Attachment B	0.5				
Program Narrative							
Program Information							
5	Summary of Program: Application has provided a brief summary of all of the proposed programs that will be funded using the OCTF allocation.	Page 15	1.5				
6	Each proposed program/service has been identified as evidence based,	Pages 8-	2				

	evidence informed or a promising practice and a brief explanation for each identification has been provided.	11, 15					
7	Application includes at least one citation and/or reference per program where additional information concerning the proposed program's level of evidence can be easily obtained.	Page 15	2				
8	Description of Need: Application outlines the need(s) for the program within the community and clearly identifies how these needs were determined.	Page 15	2				
Program Description, Goals, Activities and Timelines							
9	Application includes a description of what each proposed program and the activities will take place as part of that program.	Page 15	1.5				
10	Application explains fully how the program strengthens families in order to prevent child abuse and neglect.	Page 15	1				
11	Application provides the outcomes to be achieved for each program	Page 15	2				
12	Application provides a description of the level of parent engagement in the program	Page 16	1				
13	Application includes a clear program timeline that at a minimum includes: the program start and end date and the dates when evaluation of the program will take place.	Page 16	0.5				
Program Participation							
14	Application includes a description of the population served for each proposed program.	Page 16	1				
15	Application identifies whether each program is primary or secondary prevention and provides a brief explanation for each identification.	Page 16	1				
16	Application includes description on how the program/services are sensitive to the ethnic or cultural backgrounds of participants.	Page 16	1				
17	Application states how many families, adults and children are anticipated to participate for <i>each</i> proposed program.	Page 16	1.5				
18	Application includes a description on how program participants (for each program) will be involved in the anticipated activities.	Page 16	1.5				
Evaluation							
19	Application includes a description of how the applicant plans to evaluate the programs for which funding is requested.	Page 16	2				
20	Application states what information (data) will be collected for each program.	Page 16	1.5				
21	Application includes a description of how the success of the program will be measured, including performance measures . Performance measures should be concrete and state how the success of an objective will be quantified.	Page 16	3				
22	Application identifies the person or entity that will be responsible for the evaluation of all funded programs. Applicant also explains who will be administering the evaluation and who will be evaluating program effectiveness.	Page 17	0.5				
23	Application includes a copy of all evaluation tools that will be utilized by grantee	Page 16	1				
24	Application includes statement indicating FCFC's willingness to participate in one of the focus groups conducted by Child Trends, Inc..	Page 17	1				
Logic Model							
25	Application includes completed logic model	Attachment D	3				
Budget							
26	Application includes completed Executive Budget. The Executive Budget does not include any unallowable expenditures as defined in attachment "F" of the SFY 2012 application.	Attachment E-1	2				
27	If applicable, the application includes a completed Program Budget. The Program Budget does not include any unallowable expenditures as defined in attachment "F" of the SFY 2012 application.	Attachment E-2	0				
28	Application includes a completed Vendor Budget for <i>each</i> vendor. The Vendor Budget(s) do not include any unallowable expenditures as defined in attachment "F" of the SFY 2012 application.	Attachment E-3	2				

Column Subtotal of "Partially Meets" points			
Column Subtotal of "Meets" points			
Column Subtotal of "Exceeds" points			
TOTAL TECHNICAL SCORE:			